# Appendix 10.2: Floor Risk and Drainage Assessment



# Flood Risk & Drainage Assessment Mullaghclogher Wind Farm

M01616-26\_DG03 | October 2024

WATER & ENVIRONMENTAL CONSULTANTS

[PAGE INTENTIONALLY BLANK]



#### **DOCUMENT CONTROL**

DOCUMENT FILENAME Internal Use Only	M01616-26 DG03 Flood Risk & Drainage Assessment Rev01.Docx
DOCUMENT REFERENCE	M01616-26_DG03
TITLE	Flood Risk & Drainage Assessment
CLIENT	RES
CLIENT CONTACT	Ellen Cross
PROJECT MANAGER	Kyle Somerville
AUTHOR(S)	Andrew Snowling, Iain Muir, Kyle Somerville
BRANCH	BELFAST Mossley Mill, Lower Ground (West), Carnmoney Road North, Newtownabbey BT36 5QA T: +44 (0) 28 9084 8694   W: <u>www.mccloyconsulting.com</u>

#### **REVISION HISTORY**

Rev. Ref.	Date	Prep	Chk	App	Amendments	Reason for Issue
00	28/05/2024	AS	IM	DKS	Original	Draft for Review
01	17/10/2024	AS	IM	DKS	Updated to address client comments	Final for Planning

#### DISTRIBUTION

Desisions	Revision						
Recipient	00 1 2	2	3	4	5		
FILE	~	~					
RES	✓	$\checkmark$					



#### DISCLAIMER

This document has been prepared solely as a Flood Risk & Drainage Assessment for RES at the instruction of the party named in this document control sheet. McCloy Consulting Ltd accepts no responsibility or liability for any use that is made of this document other than for the purposes for which it was originally commissioned and prepared, including by any third party.

The contents and format of this report are subject to copyright owned by McCloy Consulting Ltd save to the extent that copyright has been legally assigned by us to another party or is used by McCloy Consulting Ltd under licence. McCloy Consulting Ltd own the copyright in this report and it may not be copied or used without our prior written agreement for any purpose other than the purpose indicated in this report.

#### **SUSTAINABILITY**

As an environmental consultancy, McCloy Consulting takes its responsibility seriously to try to operate in a sustainable way. As part of this, we try to maintain a paperless office and will only provide printed copies of reports and drawings where specifically requested to do so. We encourage end users of this document to think twice before printing a hard copy - please consider whether a digital copy would suffice. If printing is unavoidable, please consider double sided printing. This report (excluding appendices) contains 37 pages of text - that's equivalent to a carbon footprint of approximately 155.4g CO2 when printed single sided.

#### MAPPING

Maps and figures in this report include OpenStreetMap background mapping licensed under the Open Data Commons Open Database License (ODbL) by the OpenStreetMap Foundation (OSMF). © OpenStreetMap contributors



#### CONTENTS

1	INTR	ODUCTION	1
	1.1	TERMS OF REFERENCE	1
	1.2	STATEMENT OF AUTHORITY	1
	1.3	APPROACH TO THE ASSESSMENT	1
	1.4	APPLICATION SITE	1
	1.4.1	Existing Land Use	2
	1.4.2	Proposed Development	2
	1.5	Site Characteristics	3
	1.5.1	Topography	3
	1.5.2	2 Hydrology and Watercourses	4
	1.5.3	3 Geology	5
2	BACI	KGROUND INFORMATION REVIEW	7
	2.1	Internet/Media/Background Search	7
	2.2	Northern Ireland Water	7
	2.3	DFI RIVERS	7
	2.3.1	Flood Maps NI	7
3	FLOO	DD RISK ASSESSMENT	9
	3.1	INITIAL ASSESSMENT	9
	3.2	FLUVIAL FLOODING	0
	3.2.1	Background – Indicative Floodplains1	0
	3.2.2	2 Existing 1% AEP Flood Extent	0
	3.2.3	B Effect of the Development	1
	3.2.4	Image: Risk to the Development	2
	3.3	SURFACE WATER	2
	3.3.1	Effect of the Development 1.	2
4	SUM	MARY OF FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS1	4
	4.1	SUMMARY OF FINDINGS	4
	4.2	DESIGN MEASURES	4
	4.2.1	Track Levels	4
	4.2.2	? Watercourse Crossings 1-	4
	4.2.3	3 Drainage Design1	5
	4.2.4	Protection of Watercourses	8
	4.3	MAINTENANCE REQUIREMENTS	8
	4.3.1	Drainage System Maintenance1	8
	4.3.2	2 Drainage Feature Maintenance	8
	4.4	FLOOD RISK & PLANNING POLICY SUMMARY	9



#### LIST OF TABLES

TABLE 3-1 POTENTIAL FLOOD MECHANISM AND POLICY SCREENING	9
TABLE 3-2 COMPARISON OF SURFACE WATER RUN-OFF RATES (PEAK [1 HR] RUNOFF RATES)	. 12
TABLE 4-1 ATTENUATION REQUIREMENTS	. 17
Table 4-2 PPS15 Policy Summary	. 19

#### LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1-1 Site Location	2
Figure 1-2 Proposed Site Layout	3
Figure 1-3 Site location and Topography	4
Figure 1-4 Site Hydrology	5
FIGURE 1-5 GSNI 1:10K SUPERFICIAL COVER	6
FIGURE 2-1 EXTRACT FROM FLOOD MAPS NI – INDICATIVE 1% AEP FLUVIAL FLOOD EXTENT	8
FIGURE 2-2 EXTRACT FROM FLOOD MAPS NI – INDICATIVE 0.5% AEP SURFACE WATER FLOOD EXTENT	8
Figure 3-1 1% AEP Flood Extent – Existing Scenario for Context	10
FIGURE 3-2 1% AEP FLOOD EXTENT – CRAIG RIVER	11
Figure 3-3 Indicative Overland Flow Paths	13

#### **APPENDICES**

Appendix A Site Hydrology Map Appendix B Drainage Layouts Appendix C Correspondence Appendix D Flood Model Summary Appendix E Hydrology Calculation Summaries Appendix F Drainage Calculations Appendix G Flood Mapping Appendix H Watercourse Crossing Schedule



#### 1 INTRODUCTION

#### **1.1 Terms of Reference**

This Flood Risk and Drainage Assessment has been commissioned by RES, to support a planning application for the proposed Mullaghclogher Wind Farm development located near Plumbridge, in Northern Ireland, hereafter referred to as the 'Proposed Development'.

The purpose of this assessment is to address Revised Planning Policy Statement 15 (PPS15). The assessment will therefore determine potential sources of flooding at the site and their associated risk to life and property; and shall discuss the site suitability for development and outline proposed design and mitigation measures where appropriate.

#### **1.2 Statement of Authority**

This report and assessment have been prepared and reviewed by qualified professional civil engineers, specialising in the fields of hydrology, drainage and flood risk as required by Dfl Rivers. The key staff members involved in this project are as follows:

- Andrew Snowling Environmental Technician with expectance in flood risk assessments, environmental assessments, and surface water environments.
- Iain Muir MSc CEnv MIEnvSc Senior Consultant and Chartered Environmentalist specialising in environmental assessment and applied hydrology, and particular experience in fluvial flood hydrology and modelling.
- Kyle Somerville BEng (Hons) CEng MIEI Director and Chartered Engineer specialising in the fields of flood risk assessment; flood modelling, drainage, and surface water management design.

#### **1.3** Approach to the Assessment

Consideration has been given to the sources and extent of fluvial and tidal flooding at the site, as well as flooding of the site from pluvial sources, infrastructure failure, overland flow, and ponding of localised rainfall within the site. The assessment is intended to be proportionate to the scale and nature of the development and the perceived risk to it.

For the purposes of this study the following have been considered:

- Available information on historical flooding in the area;
- Site level information based on a high-resolution digital terrain model.
- Detailed assessment of potential flooding from rivers, including fluvial flood modelling.
- Assessment of potential flooding to the site from overland sources.
- Assessment of potential flood risk to adjacent lands caused by development at the site; and
- Determination of the availability of safe discharge of surface water from the site.

In the absence of a local development plan strategy, the relevant planning policy is retained PPS 15 (Revised) which has the same policy direction as the SPPS and is generally more prescriptive. Further guidance is also provided in:

- CIRIA Research Project 624 "Development and Flood Risk: Guidance for the Construction Industry"; and
- Technical Flood Risk Guidance in relation to Allowances for Climate Change in Northern Ireland.

#### 1.4 Application Site

The development and application site (referred to as the 'Planning Application Boundary') lies within a surveyed site comprising a wider landholding (lands under applicant control) referred to as 'the Site' within



which the 'Planning Application Boundary' lies. The site is located on elevated land north-east of Plumbridge.

Site context and location are shown on the drawings submitted in support of the application.



Figure 1-1 Site Location

#### 1.4.1 Existing Land Use

The surveyed site comprises undeveloped land used for agricultural grazing. The site is accessed from Lisnaragh Road (B48) to the west.

#### 1.4.2 Proposed Development

The proposed development involves the construction of 12 no. wind turbines with associated permanent unbound gravel track for access and egress, associated site infrastructure including a control building / substation, and permanent drainage features.

The proposed development footprint within the site is shown on the following figure.





Figure 1-2 Proposed Site Layout

#### **1.5 Site Characteristics**

Site characteristics are more fully described within the Geology and Water Environment chapter within the Environmental Statement that this assessment is intended to support. Those aspects pertinent to flood risk and drainage are highlighted in the following sections.

#### 1.5.1 <u>Topography</u>

Topography derived from the OSNI NI 10m DTM merged with a 2m DTM generated from survey data is shown on the following figure.





Figure 1-3 Site location and Topography

#### 1.5.2 Hydrology and Watercourses

There is 1 no. watercourse designated under the Drainage Order within the site which runs along the northeastern boundary and is designated as "Craig River" wc no. MW1104.

Undesignated / minor drainage has been mapped to inform the Environmental Statement.

The hydrology in the areas where development is proposed falls across one primary drainage basin defined by the Water Framework Directive. The site drains west and north to the "Burn Dennet River (Ballynamallaght)".

Watercourses, peat / land drainage and field boundary drainage, have been mapped as part of the wider hydrological assessment. Main water features on and adjacent to the site are shown on Figure 1-4. A detailed hydrology map is included at Appendix A (which is a duplicate of EIAR Figure 10.1).





Figure 1-4 Site Hydrology

#### 1.5.3 <u>Geology</u>

A review of GSNI geology data<sup>1</sup> has been undertaken to inform this assessment. Underlying superficial site geology based on GSNI 10k mapping is shown on the following figure. A refined description of ground cover is contained in the Environmental Statement.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Department for the Economy. GSNI GeoIndex. Available from: https://mapapps2.bgs.ac.uk/GSNI\_Geoindex/home.html. [Accessed: 28/1/2020].





Figure 1-5 GSNI 1:10K Superficial Cover



#### 2 BACKGROUND INFORMATION REVIEW

As part of the data collection phase, several sources of information were investigated to develop an understanding of the potential site flood risk. The following review highlights the findings of the anecdotal evidence collection exercise.

#### 2.1 Internet/Media/Background Search

Preliminary consultation with online media sources indicates that there are no recorded flood incidents which have affected the site.

#### 2.2 Northern Ireland Water

Review of asset information confirms that there are no NIW water supply or drainage assets within or proximal to the site and no further consideration has been given to flooding from such artificial sources.

#### 2.3 Dfl Rivers

#### 2.3.1 Flood Maps NI

The extent of development was reviewed with reference to Flood Maps (NI). Dfl Rivers in consultation will recommend that climate change is a material consideration, and therefore reference to flood maps is to climate change datasets. Information obtained from flood maps is summarised as follows:

- There is no record of historic flooding within or in proximity of the proposed development.
- Dfl Rivers indicative fluvial flood maps indicates that a reach coinciding with a headwater of the Craig River (referred to as 'Glengarrow' Burn in ES Chapter 10) is affected by the indicatively modelled 1% AEP fluvial flood extents. Tributaries of the Burn Dennet River, located in the north-western section of the site, are also affected by the indicatively modelled 1% AEP fluvial flood extents.
- Dfl Rivers indicative surface water flood maps present day indicates that parts of the site are affected by the indicatively modelled 0.5% AEP surface water flood extent. Flooding generally coincides with the routes of watercourse.
- The DfI Reservoir inundation maps confirms that the site is unaffected by the inundation zone of any controlled reservoir.





Figure 2-1 Extract from Flood Maps NI - Indicative 1% AEP Fluvial Flood Extent



Figure 2-2 Extract from Flood Maps NI - Indicative 0.5% AEP Surface Water Flood Extent



#### 3 FLOOD RISK ASSESSMENT

#### 3.1 Initial Assessment

The following flood mechanism and policy screening is undertaken based on the initial information obtained and in the absence of any pre-application Dfl Rivers Planning Advisory consultation response.

Policy	Flood Mechanism	Initial Assessment	Policy Applies?	Assess further?
FLD 1 - Development in	Fluvial Flooding Fluvial Flooding access tracks.		Yes	Yes
Fluvial & Coastal Flood Plains	Coastal Flooding	The site is unaffected by coastal flooding shown on FMNI.	No	103
Flood Defence / Failure The site does not lie in a defended		The site does not lie in a defended area.	No	
FLD 2 - Protection of Flood Defence & Drainage Infrastructure	Development near drainage or flood defence assets	The proposed development is located adjacent to and crosses several watercourses and minor drains.	Yes	Yes
	assets Predicted surface water flooding shown on FMNI coincides with watercourses and is more appropriately assessed as fluvial.		No	
FLD 3 - Development and Pluvial Flood Risk Outside Flood Plains	Surface water discharge	The development would potentially modify surface water run-off characteristics onsite/offsite. The scale and nature of the proposal triggers the need for a drainage assessment; development is required to demonstrate that safe discharge of surface water is feasible.	Yes	Yes
	Culvert Blockage	No existing culverted watercourses have potential to affect the site in areas that would be of concern in relation to flood damage to the proposal.	No	
	Urban Drainage / Local Drainage Failure	oan Drainage ocal Drainage No record of local drainage failures. lure		
	Groundwater	Not for consideration due to underlying geology and soil types.	No	
FLD 4 - Artificial Modification of Watercourses	Development affecting watercourses	The development shall involve several crossings of undesignated watercourses and field drains to permit access.	Yes	Yes
FLD 5 - Development in Proximity to Reservoirs	Reservoir Flooding	The site is not located within a reservoir inundation zone.	No	No

#### Table 3-1 Potential Flood Mechanism and Policy Screening



#### 3.2 Fluvial Flooding

#### 3.2.1 Background - Indicative Floodplains

Review of Flood Maps (NI) indicates that the designated "Craig River" watercourse has been indicatively modelled within and proximal to the Planning Application Boundary. The flooding affects an area where an access track is proposed to cross the watercourse and floodplain. No development is planned in affected areas other than one watercourse crossing where the track is required for access.

A more robust site-specific river model, suitable to the scale and nature of the Proposed Development, has been prepared using high resolution DTM to allow design and evaluation of crossing options given spate upland rivers can characteristically spread over a broad valley floor from a small base channel. Flood mapping produced is intended to supersede the indicative model predictions within the Planning Application Boundary.

#### 3.2.2 Existing 1% AEP Flood Extent

Site-specific hydraulic modelling of the Craig River (See Appendix D for methodology and detail) indicates 1% AEP flooding broadly consistent with that shown on Flood Maps NI.

Due to expected climate change impacts, the application of the precautionary approach requires any assessment of flood risk to incorporate the necessary allowances for increased rainfall, storminess and sea level rise (where appropriate) specified in current UK research and guidance. As per Dfl guidance<sup>2</sup>, a climate change has been derived through modelling an increase of current design flows by 20%.

The effect of climate change is included in the flood levels and flood mapping shown on all subsequent figures. The 1% AEP flood extents applicable to policy FLD 1 is shown in Figure 3-1.



Figure 3-1 1% AEP Flood Extent - Existing Scenario for Context

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Dfl. (2019). Technical Flood Risk Guidance in relation to Allowances for Climate Change in Northern Ireland. Available from: <u>link</u>.





Figure 3-2 1% AEP Flood Extent - Craig River

Detailed flood extents mapping is provided in Appendix G. Mitigation of risk to the development adjacent to or crossing the floodplain is stated in Section 4.2.

#### 3.2.3 Effect of the Development

The proposed access tracks must cross the undesignated watercourse to permit access to the eastern extent of the Site. The number of crossings has been minimised and have sought to avoid complex meandering reaches which would cause a complex extended culvert or need for a diversion / realignment of the watercourse.

There are 14 no. proposed culverts / crossings of which one is located on an undesignated water feature where fluvial flood mapping is shown on Flood Maps NI. Other watercourse crossings are not significant in flood risk and planning terms and cannot have a significant effect on flood risk, and their design is deferred post-planning consent subject to normal requirements for Dfl Rivers authorisation.

Due to the larger scale of the significant crossing to the Craig River, the effect of the Proposed Development (track geometry and proposed culvert opening) has been assessed by incorporating the track alignment as raised embankments within the model geometry and testing river culvert dimensions until a satisfactory outcome was resolved that complies with normal culvert design and flood protection standards.

The proposal has targeted the following standard:

- Main channel culvert / bridge sized to accommodate the in-channel 1% AEP + Climate Change flow with min. 0.3m freeboard between inlet top water level to culvert soffit, per CIRIA C689 Culvert Design and Evaluation Guide. The culvert span is informed primarily by environmental protection standards which seek to maintain the stream banks and bed intact on a precautionary basis due to potential for good fishery habitat.
- While causing no change to upstream water levels is not viable without bridging the whole floodplain, then the proposal has sought to cause an upstream effect not greater than approximately +0.3m, the effect of which is contained entirely within lands under control of the applicant.



The outcome of the analysis and design is scheduled in the following table. Pre-/post-development flood extent mapping is included at Appendix G.

Upstream 1% AEP + CC Flood Level		Effect	Proposed Solution	
Pre-Development	Post Development	(m)		
276.186	276.270	0.084	Bottomless culvert with span 3.0m, height 1.8m and soffit not less than 276.57 m OD.	

Modelling confirms no significant effect on flooding elsewhere, with any localised effect contained immediately proximal to the proposed infrastructure and within lands under control of the applicant. No further mitigation (in the form of floodplain reprofiling) is necessary to mitigate any effect elsewhere.

#### 3.2.4 <u>Risk to the Development</u>

All development other than unavoidable watercourse crossings is sited outside of floodplains and away from watercourses.

All development adjacent to floodplains and proposed track crest levels and any other proposed infrastructure adjacent to watercourse crossings shall be sited at a level greater than the adjacent 1% AEP Climate Change flood level (per mapping shown at Appendix G, ideally including 0.6m freeboard, and as such will have an appropriate standard of flood protection.

#### 3.3 Surface Water

#### 3.3.1 <u>Effect of the Development</u>

The proposed development shall lead to an increase in the impermeable area of the site. Therefore, the risk of flooding from surface water run-off from the site shall be greater relative to the existing scenario without appropriate mitigation.

An estimate of the <u>unmitigated</u> post-development run-off for the footprint of the proposed development has been made as part of this assessment. A comparison of existing and proposed run-off rates in litres per second (lps) are provided in Table 3-2.

Return Period	Existing Site (l/s)	Proposed Site (I/s)	Increase (I/s)
1 in 2 year	122	198	76
1 in 30 year 212		565	354
1 in 100 year 252		767	515

#### Table 3-2 Comparison of surface water run-off rates (Peak [1hr] Runoff rates)

#### 3.3.1.1 <u>Potential for Overland Flooding</u>

The site setting is rural, and the proposal is unlikely to cause any significant direct risk of surface water flooding to any receptor downgradient.

Routing of overland flooding from the site has been determined based on a "rolling ball" hydrological analysis, the outcome of which (showing key overland flow routes) is shown on Figure 3-3. That analysis tends to confirm that all runoff from the site will be intercepted by the watercourse network prior to causing any effect of adjacent land.

Mitigation of surface water flood risk to adjacent lands shall be by provision of an adequate drainage system, see Section 4.2.3.





#### Figure 3-3 Indicative Overland Flow Paths

#### 3.3.1.2 <u>Effect on Downstream Watercourses</u>

All runoff from the site will drain to downstream watercourses lands under control of the applicant.

The effect of the development has been assessed as causing an increased rate and volume of run-off. To mitigate this effect, it is proposed to use a rural SuDS approach to encourage dispersal of runoff over the site and discourage point discharges to watercourses; and to limit run-off from direct discharges to watercourses to a greenfield equivalent pre-development run-off rate.

Point discharges to watercourses will be attenuated to the Dfl Rivers greenfield rate up to the 1% AEP + Climate Change. Dfl Rivers consent for point discharges has been sought in parallel with submission of the planning application – Rivers application reference IN1-24-9698. A copy of the consent will be supplied separately when available.

Requirements for the attenuation and discharge of surface water based on the proposals at the site are discussed in Section 4.2.



#### 4 SUMMARY OF FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

#### 4.1 Summary of Findings

Parts of the site are affected by the 1% AEP fluvial flood extents which are associated with out of bank flooding from an undesignated watercourse comprising the upper reaches of the Craig River.

An assessment of fluvial flooding undertaken. No development is sited within the fluvial floodplain other than a proposed track watercourse crossing required to permit access. The Proposed Development has been assessed and determined to cause no adverse effect outside lands under control of the applicant. No other significant flood risk has been identified after mitigation.

The Proposed Development causes an increase in peak rate and volume of runoff from the site. Mitigation of surface water flood risk to the development, by providing an adequate drainage system, is discussed below.

#### 4.2 **Design Measures**

This section details measures which have been incorporated into the proposal submitted in support of the planning application, and to be further developed in any detailed design or variation post-determination of the planning application.

#### 4.2.1 <u>Track Levels</u>

Finished track levels adjacent to watercourse crossings where fluvial flooding has been assessed in detail shall be sited at a minimum level of the adjacent 1% AEP Climate Change flood level + 0.6m freeboard, to comply with Dfl Rivers standard recommendations. Adjacent flood levels are shown on maps at Appendix G.

All other infrastructure is sited sufficiently remotely from watercourses that no minimum design levels apply.

#### 4.2.2 <u>Watercourse Crossings</u>

The development shall involve the installation of piped crossings for thirteen features locations across the site to permit access, comprising of 1 no. significant watercourses (i.e. catchment areas >0.25 km<sup>2</sup>) and 13 no. minor watercourses. Watercourses vary from headwater channels to substantial upland streams with bed widths >2m.

The nature of the crossing proposed (i.e. closed culvert or clear span) is dictated by other overriding environmental factors (fisheries and habitats requirements) and the need to avoid in-stream works where applicable.

A detailed schedule of culvert crossings and the watercourses affected is included at Appendix H.

#### 4.2.2.1 <u>Culvert Crossings</u>

Where no requirement for fish passage has been identified within the site-specific fisheries assessment included in the Environmental Statement, piped crossings on these 13 no. watercourses shall be designed as to mitigate potential for flooding of infrastructure. Culverts shall be designed to have free inlet conditions for an appropriate flood design standard, nominally 1% AEP / 1-in 100 years with climate change allowance or as may otherwise be required by Dfl Rivers in consultation.

Any crossings required shall be designed to accommodate track crossings whilst limiting the length of the channel affected.

Hydraulic design of crossings shall be undertaken as per the guidance and requirements provided in CIRIA C689 "Culvert Design and Operation Guide" (or other standard as may be required by Dfl Rivers in post-consent consultation), with primary parameters likely to include:

• Width of the crossing will be greater than the width of the active drainage channel.



- Alignment of the crossing will suit the alignment of the drainage channel i.e. preserve the existing direction of flow.
- The slope of the crossing will not exceed the slope of the bed of the existing channel.

Proposed culverts shall be subject to future approval from DfI Rivers through a Schedule 6 application, under the Drainage Order (NI) 1973. The locations affected are not located in any floodplain and are of a conventional nature and there is no significant potential for the culverts in themselves to cause a new flood risk requiring consideration as part of this flood risk assessment. Consent has been sought in parallel with the planning application, DfI reference IN1-24-9701, and consent will be forwarded when available if required.

#### 4.2.2.2 <u>Clear Span Crossing</u>

The crossing over the Craig River is sited on a floodplain and as such its effects are of material consideration in terms of this flood risk assessment, and so have been considered and assessed in further detail.

The proposed structure, which complies with and exceed the minimum standards stated in Section 4.2.2.1 for minor watercourse crossings, is shown on drawings included in Appendix B. There is sufficient information in this FRA to allow the conclusion that the proposed structure is satisfactory from a flood risk point of view and that the planning application can be determined without Dfl Rivers Schedule 6 consent in place. Consent has been sought in parallel with the planning application, Dfl reference IN1-24-9701, and consent will be forwarded when available if required.

The culvert shall be formed from precast portal frame sections or similar. The section heights shall be dictated by the structural and foundation / formation design and the minimum soffit height determined by this assessment.

#### 4.2.3 Drainage Design

Drainage is to meet or exceed the hydraulic standards stated as follows:

•	The drainage network / site layout ensures containment and control of the 100-year (1% AEP) return period storm within the site to ensure no offsite effect elsewhere.	To suit Dfl Rivers flood protection standards.
•	The drainage network allows for a <b>20%</b> allowance for climate change for the flood protection standard.	

Due to the nature of the development, a formalised conventional drainage system is not considered feasible or practical at the site. The design principles in summary are as follows:

- Runoff from the access track shall be collected via open swales. Run-off shall be attenuated with the use of check dams to reduce the peak rate of run-off and to encourage infiltration of surface water.
- Settlement/attenuation basins will be provided where drainage from significant areas of hardstanding discharge directly to streams and watercourses.
- If feasible at detailed design, run-off should be encouraged to discharge overland, rather than accumulate concentrated peak flows to discharge to watercourses.

The drainage networks should also allow for a 20% allowance for climate change at all the above listed return periods. SuDS features shall be designed in accordance with best practice guidance in The SuDS Manual (Document ref: C753; CIRIA).

#### 4.2.3.1 Discharge Rate and Location

To demonstrate that the safe discharge of surface water from the proposed wind farm site is feasible, a preliminary drainage design has been prepared and is included in Appendix B. The proposal involves



surface water from the proposed development discharging directly to the existing on-site watercourses and field drains, at the 9 no. locations indicated on the drainage design.

Point discharges to watercourses will be attenuated to the Dfl Rivers greenfield rate up to the 1% AEP + Climate Change event. Dfl Rivers consent for point discharges has been sought in parallel with submission of the planning application – Rivers application reference IN1-24-9698. A copy of the consent will be supplied separately when available.

Surface water run-off shall be limited as closely as feasible to the greenfield run-off rate of 10l/s/ha for the developed site area.

#### 4.2.3.2 <u>Attenuation Requirement</u>

The indicative drainage design shown intends surface water from the site to discharge into 16 no. attenuation basins at locations adjacent watercourses and field drains. Flows controls shall be installed at the pond outflow points to ensure that flows are discharging to watercourses as per Table 4-1.

The storage calculation has not included the storage provided within the drainage conveyance system i.e. by check dams in swales, and loss of water by overland dispersal. The attenuation sizes required are therefore considered highly conservative. Attenuation calculations demonstrate that attenuation as scheduled on Table 4-1 (overleaf pg. 17) is required.

The attenuation volume stated is based on preliminary information; drainage catchments are subject to change dependent on the finalised layout of any drainage layout and finished ground levels. Volumes stated are dependent on the type and efficiency of the flow control method used. Ultimately the final design (to be completed and agreed post-consent) must comply with the limiting discharge rate (per hectare) applied to the drained development area.

The location of attenuation basins / configuration can be viewed in drawings included in Appendix B.



Catchment	Comment	Drainage area (m2)	Attenuation storage required (m3)	Allowable run- off rate (l/s)
1	Discharge to watercourse / drain	4558	123	4.6
2	Discharge to watercourse / drain	5022	135	5
3	Discharge to watercourse / drain	1276	34	1.3
4	Discharge to watercourse / drain	8643	233	8.6
5	Discharge to watercourse / drain	4001	108	4
6	Discharge to watercourse / drain	4900	132	4.9
7	Discharge to watercourse / drain	436	12	0.4
8	Discharge to watercourse / drain	698	19	0.7
9	Discharge to watercourse / drain	4843	131	4.8
10	Discharge to watercourse / drain	1122	30	1.1
11	Discharge to watercourse / drain	1410	38	1.4
12	Discharge overland over heath	10476	282	10.5
13	Discharge to watercourse / drain	1001	27	1
14	Discharge to watercourse / drain	6452	174	6.5
15	Discharge to watercourse / drain	2650	71	2.7
16	Discharge to watercourse / drain	2152	58	2.2
17	Discharge to watercourse / drain	4903	132	4.9
18	Discharge to watercourse / drain	6032	163	6
19	Discharge to watercourse / drain	2751	74	2.8
20	Discharge to watercourse / drain	11131	300	11.1
21	Discharge to watercourse / drain	608	16	0.6
22	Discharge to watercourse / drain	1123	30	1.1
23	Discharge to watercourse / drain	4380	118	4.4
24	Discharge to watercourse / drain	7351	198	7.4
TOTAL	•	97919 SQ M	2638 CU. M	98 lps

<sup>(</sup>equivalent to 10 lps/Ha)

#### 4.2.3.3 Exceedance

In the event of an unprecedented flood, any attenuation pond is expected to overtop and drain overland.

It has been demonstrated that flows from the site up to the flood protection design standard (1 in 100 year/1% AEP) can be safely contained within the system without flooding. Runoff in the event of other



exceedance (i.e. blockage or other failure) will tend to follow flow routes tending towards the south/south west of the site as per the present day scenario (refer to Figure 3-3.)

Mitigation of such exceedance shall be by robust maintenance of the drainage network described subsequently.

#### 4.2.4 Protection of Watercourses

The proposal includes measures that prevent development within 10m of minor watercourses and 50m of hydrologically significant water features, which ensure that the requirements of policy FLD2 are met. The nature of the proposal causes no built development of a type that would impede riparian maintenance of watercourses, and as such meets the normal requirements stated in policy FLD2 in relation to watercourse maintenance.

#### 4.3 Maintenance Requirements

#### 4.3.1 Drainage System Maintenance

The developer/site operator is to ensure that the maintenance of the drainage system is included within the overall management plan for the site. Detailed drainage layouts for the site shall ensure that key features requiring maintenance (e.g. flow control devices) are in accessible locations.

Maintenance plans for SuDS are to include (where applicable):

- Cyclical (min. annual, or after significant storm event) check of any flow control device for damage, debris, or blockage.
- Seasonal maintenance of any surface water feature e.g. swales/ponds nominally to include management of vegetation, clearing of obstructions, etc.

#### 4.3.2 Drainage Feature Maintenance

The operator is reminded of their statutory obligations set out in the Drainage (Northern Ireland) Order 1973 in relation to their role as a riparian landowner to the watercourses and field drains located on site.



#### 4.4 Flood Risk & Planning Policy Summary

The following table summarises the findings, mitigation, and policy context of those flood mechanisms and policies deemed to be required to be investigated further by the initial assessment.

Table	4-2	PPS15	Policy	Summary
-------	-----	-------	--------	---------

Policy	Assessment / Mitigation		
	No development in floodplains is proposed other than where a proposed access track crosses a watercourse with an adjacent floodplain.		
FLD 1 - Development in Fluvial & Coastal Flood Plains	Development adjacent to floodplains is resilient to flooding including freeboard to climate change flood levels.		
	Proposed watercourse crossings have been assessed to have no effect elsewhere and no additional mitigation is required.		
FLD 2 - Protection of Flood Defence & Drainage Infrastructure	The proposals shall not impede riparian maintenance of watercourses. The proposal therefore complies with FLD2.		
	Site drainage shall ensure that the site is adequately drained and flood resilient.		
FLD 3 - Development and Pluvial Flood Risk	Drainage design shall adopt suitable hydraulic standards in relation to standards of flood protection to the site and downstream watercourses.		
Outside Flood Plains	Surface water can be safely disposed of to existing field drains and watercourses subject to pending Dfl Rivers consent.		
	The proposal will comply with FLD3.		
	The proposed development shall involve the construction of crossings to existing watercourses and field drains.		
FLD 4 – Artificial Modification of Watercourses	The crossings shall be constructed to facilitate access only and are a permissible exception to policy FLD4.		
	Crossing designs ensure flood risk outside the site / outside lands under control of the applicant is unaffected.		
FLD 5 - Development in Proximity to Reservoirs	Does not apply (see Section 3.1)		



## Appendix A

Site Hydrology Map





## Appendix B

**Drainage Layouts** 













	Mossley Mill, Lower Ground (West),	MULLAGHCLOGHER WIND FARM - SCHEDULE 6 LAYOUT SHEET 5				DRAWING COPYRIGHT MCCLOY CONSULTIN RIGHTS RESERVED. BACKGROUND MAP CONTAINS OPENSTREET
OY	Carnmoney Road North, Newtownabbey BT36 5QA T: 028 9084 8694	PROJECT / FIGURE NO.				
	E: info@mccloyconsulting.com	DRAWN BY	SCALE	REVISION	DATE	OSM CONTRIBUTORS (2024)
9		DKS	1:2000	0	27/05/2024	






















# Appendix C

Correspondence

**Dfl Rivers Omagh** 

Ian Muir McCloy Consulting Mossley Mill Carnmoney Road North Newtownabbey Co. Antrim BT36 5QA



www.infrastructure-ni.gov.uk

3A St Julian's Road OMAGH Co. Tyrone BT79 7HQ

Rivers.omagh@infrastructureni.gov.uk

Telephone: 028 8225 4900

Your reference:M01616-26 Our reference:IN1-22-13133

06 September 2022

Dear Mr. Muir

# PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT INFORMATION REQUEST FOR A WIND FARM NORTH OF PLUMBRIDGE.

Thank you for your correspondence dated 22 August 2022 regarding the proposed development information request for a wind farm North of Plumbridge.

The Department would like to inform you that your proposals affect several watercourses which are undesignated under the terms of the Drainage (Northern Ireland) Order 1973, with maintenance responsibilities resting with riparian landowners. You should note that the Department does not hold any information on undesignated watercourses.

The area identified within your correspondence also affects a watercourse which is designated within the meaning of the Drainage (Northern Ireland) Order 1973 and known to the Department as the Craig River, watercourse number MW1104.

Floodplain extents can be viewed on Flood Map (NI) by accessing the Dfl Rivers web site at <a href="https://www.infrastructure-ni.gov.uk/topics/rivers-and-flooding/flood-maps-ni">https://www.infrastructure-ni.gov.uk/topics/rivers-and-flooding/flood-maps-ni</a>

Pertaining to the area highlighted within your correspondence; Strategic Flood Map for Northern Ireland suggests that a portion of this site falls within or adjacent the predicted 1 in 100 year floodplain. The Department also notes that parts of this site are adjacent to an area identified to have historic flooding and there may also be localised flooding the Department is unaware of. Flood Map NI is the best information at the Department present disposal, however at this location it is indicative only and of insufficient accuracy to determine site specific flood risk; a more precise Flood Risk Assessment would be required to define the exact extents of the floodplain and flood levels.

Please note, the Department makes every effort to maintain and improve the quality of data through the use of quality control procedures; however no responsibility is accepted for any loss or damage, costs or claims arising directly or indirectly from data use.

A Schedule 6 application is required for any watercourse works e.g. Discharge storm water to a watercourse/ Construct a bridge across or culvert a watercourse/ Divert a watercourse/ Other works adjacent to or affecting a watercourse. Your application should take into consideration the following; discharge rate, discharge location, backwater effect during flood conditions and details of any outfall structure to the receiving watercourse.

Schedule 6 applications are available at: <u>https://www.infrastructure-ni.gov.uk/publications/schedule-6-application-consent-undertake-works-watercourse</u>

In addition to the above, it is noteworthy that the Department interest is from a drainage and flood risk aspect only, therefore it is the responsibility of the developer/applicant to acquire authorisation/consent from other parties/statutory bodies who may have any interest in your proposals.

The applicant should also fully satisfy themselves that any permanent works will not increase flood risk within the catchment.

Your application should include detailed drawings/calculations and be forwarded to this office for consideration, taking into account the foregoing comments, prior to commencement of any works.

I trust you find the foregoing to be helpful, however if you require any further information or clarification please contact the Department at <u>rivers.omagh@infrastructure-ni.gov.uk</u> quoting the reference number above.

Yours faithfully.

BA

S BUCHANAN Assistant Engineer



# Appendix D

**Flood Model Summary** 



### MODEL PARAMETERS

### Introduction

As no existing modelled data was available for the undesignated watercourse at the site, a coarse hydraulic model suitable to the scale and nature of the proposed development and associated risk, was developed for the site. An Infoworks ICM linked 1D-2D model has been developed for the site, allowing more accurate determination of flood levels and extents at the site.

### HYDROLOGICAL ASSESSMENT

The estimation of peak flow for the required design annual probability has been necessary to determine the peak inflow and hydrograph for input to an unsteady state hydraulic model.

The derivation of the 1% AEP peak flow and hydrograph for the undesignated watercourse was assessed using the FEH Revitalised Flood Hydrograph (ReFH) Method. The method is deemed appropriate where best practice guidance directs practitioners to FEH-based methods in all instances, and where catchments investigated are small and there is little useful local or comparable data to inform a Statistical analysis.

Site-specific flow-accumulation raster analysis based on site survey and the OSNI Northern Ireland 10m DTM was used to determine a conservative estimate of the catchments draining to the areas of interest, and the ReFH2 flow for the FEH catchment scaled pro-rata by areal extent.

As per Dfl guidance<sup>3</sup>, an effect of climate change has been derived by applying an uplift of +20% to the estimated flow.



### Hydrological Catchment

Hydrology Summary

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Dfl. (2019). Technical Flood Risk Guidance in relation to Allowances for Climate Change in Northern Ireland. Available from: https://www.infrastructure-ni.gov.uk/sites/default/files/publications/infrastructure/technical-flood-risk-guidance-in-allowances-forclimate-change-6feb19.PDF. [Accessed: 15/04/2022].



Analysis Method	1% AEP Design Flow (m3/sec)
FEH ReFH2 Method	4.068

Detailed calculations for the determination of the flows are contained within Appendix E.

### **MODEL GEOMETRY**



### Model Extents

### **2-Dimensional Surface Model Areas**

#### **Topography**

Model topography was based on a detailed site-specific LiDAR survey. The survey was cleaned to a "bare earth" digital terrain model (DTM) and exported for use at a 0.5m grid resolution.

The use of LiDAR survey data is likely to underrepresent channel capacity and overestimate flooding due to it underestimating below-water ground levels and levels under vegetation, and as such is precautionary and suitable for planning purposes.

#### 2D Zone

The terrain model was loaded into InfoWorks ICM as a ground model, and subsequently converted into 2D mesh elements (the surface used to simulate flows across the topography within the model). The 2D zone has a maximum triangle area of 10m<sup>2</sup>, minimum area of 2m<sup>2</sup>.

### Boundary Conditions



The boundary condition for 1D and 2D elements is set as the normal depth of flow for the element gradient at that location. The downstream boundary is sited at an elevation >10m lower than the area of interest in order to ensure that variance in the boundary condition could have no backwater effect that would affect prediction of water levels at the bridge location.

### Surface Roughness

A Manning's n Roughness value of 0.07 has been conservatively applied to the whole 2D zone to represent the area over which water would flow which comprises a combination of rough grass.

### Surface Infiltration

It is noted that no infiltration has been included in the model in keeping with the approach used in similar Dfl Rivers SFRA detailed models. The absence of infiltration in the model is likely to present conservative results.

### **1-Dimensional Model Elements**

### Cross sections

The river reach is derived from discrete cross sections sampled from the DTM formed from height data described previously.

### Channel Roughness

An in-channel roughness Manning's n of 0.06 is adopted as representative of the observed channel conditions.

#### **Structures**

No structures are represented in the present-day scenario.

### Proposed Scenario

The proposed scenario is represented by inclusion of an embankment at the crossing location imposed on the 2D zone as a mesh level zone. Conservative crest heights in excess of actual heights likely required have been adopted to provide conservative upstream peak flood levels.

The main river culvert is included as a conduit on the river reach with roughness 0.06 (representative of stream substrate) and upper roughness of 0.016 (representative of precast concrete). Inlet losses are represented by the FHWA methodology per industry norms.



### Assumptions and Limitations of Modelling

The representation of any complex system by a model requires a number of assumptions to be made. In the case of the hydraulic model developed for the purposes of the study it is assumed that:

- The terrain model sufficiently accurately represents the surface topography and associated flow paths.
- The design flows are an accurate representation of flows of a given return period.
- Roughness does not vary with time.

The primary limitations of the study are noted as follows:

- No allowance for infiltration has been made within the model.
- The model does not represent any topographic features smaller than the minimum resolution of the underlying terrain model derived for the site.

### **MODEL SENSITIVITY**

Model roughness is intentionally precautionary and at the higher end of permissible Manning's N roughness values for the conditions observed. Sensitivity testing for further increases in roughness would be an unreasonable requirement.

Flows are conservative and include uplifts for climate change for the default scenario and are taken for a flow extraction point downstream of the area of interest and so are likely to represent a sufficiently precautionary estimate without need for further stress testing.

The model boundary condition is sited >10m downgradient of the site and so further stress testing of boundary condition on the area of interest can be discounted.

The model can therefore be deemed reliable / conservative and is and fit for its intended purpose of a precautionary evaluation of flood risk and culvert opening sizes at the site.



# Appendix E

**Hydrology Calculation Summaries** 

## **UK Design Flood Estimation**

Generated on 19 September 2023 15:51:07 by terminal Printed from the ReFH2 Flood Modelling software package, version 4.0.8560.23190

# Summary of estimate using the Flood Estimation Handbook revitalised flood hydrograph method (ReFH2)

### Site details

Checksum: 93EF-CADA

Site name: FEH\_Catchment\_Descriptors\_252850\_396550\_v5\_0\_1 Easting: 252850 Northing: 396550 Country: England, Wales or Northern Ireland Catchment Area (km<sup>2</sup>): 2.05

Using plot scale calculations: No

Model: 2.3

Site description: None

# Model run: 100 year

### Summary of results

Rainfall - FEH22 (mm):	50.28	Total runoff (ML):	60.96
Total Rainfall (mm):	38.89	Total flow (ML):	79.51
Peak Rainfall (mm):	4.07	Peak flow (m³/s):	8.19

### Parameters

Where the user has overriden a system-generated value, this original value is shown in square brackets after the value used.

\* Indicates that the user locked the duration/timestep

### Rainfall parameters (Rainfall - FEH22)

Name	Value	User-defined?
Duration (hh:mm:ss)	02:30:00	No
Timestep (hh:mm:ss)	00:06:00	No
SCF (Seasonal correction factor)	0.8	No
ARF (Areal reduction factor)	0.96	No
Seasonality	Winter	No
Loss model parameters		
Name	Value	User-defined?
Cini (mm)	128.62	No
Cmax (mm)	193.15	No
Use alpha correction factor	No	No
Alpha correction factor	n/a	No

### Routing model parameters

Name	Value	User-defined?
Tp (hr)	1	No
Up	0.65	No
Uk	0.8	No
Baseflow model parameters		
Name	Value	User-defined?
BF0 (m <sup>3</sup> /s)	0.18	No
BL (hr)	17.86	No
BR	0.3	No
Urbanisation parameters		
Name	Value	User-defined?
Sewer capacity (m <sup>3</sup> /s)	0	No
Exporting drained area (km <sup>2</sup> )	0	No
Urban area (km²)	0	No
Urbext 2000	0	No
Impervious runoff factor	0.7	No
Imperviousness factor	0.4	No
Tp scaling factor	0.75	No
Depression storage depth (mm)	0.5	No

### Time series data

Time (hh:mm:ss)	Rain (mm)	Sewer Loss (m³/s)	Net Rain (mm)	Runoff (m <sup>3</sup> /s)	Baseflow (m³/s)	Total Flow (m³/s)
00:00:00	0.322	0.000	0.215	0.000	0.178	0.178
00:06:00	0.405	0.000	0.270	0.004	0.177	0.181
00:12:00	0.508	0.000	0.341	0.017	0.176	0.193
00:18:00	0.637	0.000	0.429	0.041	0.175	0.217
00:24:00	0.798	0.000	0.541	0.080	0.175	0.254
00:30:00	0.999	0.000	0.681	0.136	0.174	0.310
00:36:00	1.248	0.000	0.859	0.215	0.173	0.388
00:42:00	1.557	0.000	1.083	0.322	0.173	0.495
00:48:00	1.939	0.000	1.366	0.465	0.172	0.638
00:54:00	2.405	0.000	1.722	0.654	0.172	0.826
01:00:00	2.969	0.000	2.166	0.899	0.173	1.072
01:06:00	3.623	0.000	2.705	1.210	0.173	1.383
01:12:00	4.068	0.000	3.118	1.597	0.175	1.771
01:18:00	3.623	0.000	2.850	2.073	0.177	2.250
01:24:00	2.969	0.000	2.386	2.637	0.180	2.817
01:30:00	2.405	0.000	1.966	3.269	0.184	3.453
01:36:00	1.939	0.000	1.607	3.946	0.189	4.135
01:42:00	1.557	0.000	1.305	4.643	0.195	4.839
01:48:00	1.248	0.000	1.055	5.338	0.203	5.541
01:54:00	0.999	0.000	0.850	6.004	0.211	6.215
02:00:00	0.798	0.000	0.683	6.615	0.221	6.836
02:06:00	0.637	0.000	0.547	7.142	0.231	7.374
02:12:00	0.508	0.000	0.438	7.553	0.243	7.795
02:18:00	0.405	0.000	0.350	7.814	0.254	8.068
02:24:00	0.322	0.000	0.279	7.920	0.266	8.186
02:30:00	0.000	0.000	0.000	7.890	0.278	8.169
02:36:00	0.000	0.000	0.000	7.746	0.290	8.036
02:42:00	0.000	0.000	0.000	7.506	0.301	7.807
02:48:00	0.000	0.000	0.000	7.192	0.312	7.504
02:54:00	0.000	0.000	0.000	6.825	0.322	7.147
03:00:00	0.000	0.000	0.000	6.420	0.332	6.751
03:06:00	0.000	0.000	0.000	5.993	0.340	6.333
03:12:00	0.000	0.000	0.000	5.560	0.348	5.908
03:18:00	0.000	0.000	0.000	5.133	0.355	5.488
03:24:00	0.000	0.000	0.000	4.718	0.362	5.080

Time (hh:mm:ss)	Rain (mm)	Sewer Loss (m³/s)	Net Rain (mm)	Runoff (m <sup>3</sup> /s)	Baseflow (m³/s)	Total Flow (m³/s)
03:30:00	0.000	0.000	0.000	4.317	0.368	4.685
03:36:00	0.000	0.000	0.000	3.934	0.372	4.306
03:42:00	0.000	0.000	0.000	3.573	0.377	3.950
03:48:00	0.000	0.000	0.000	3.232	0.380	3.613
03:54:00	0.000	0.000	0.000	2.908	0.384	3.292
04:00:00	0.000	0.000	0.000	2.600	0.386	2.986
04:06:00	0.000	0.000	0.000	2.305	0.388	2.693
04:12:00	0.000	0.000	0.000	2.024	0.390	2.413
04:18:00	0.000	0.000	0.000	1.756	0.391	2.146
04:24:00	0.000	0.000	0.000	1.502	0.391	1.893
04:30:00	0.000	0.000	0.000	1.263	0.391	1.655
04:36:00	0.000	0.000	0.000	1.042	0.391	1.433
04:42:00	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.839	0.391	1.230
04:48:00	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.660	0.390	1.049
04:54:00	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.509	0.388	0.897
05:00:00	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.386	0.387	0.773
05:06:00	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.288	0.385	0.674
05:12:00	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.211	0.384	0.595
05:18:00	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.151	0.382	0.533
05:24:00	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.105	0.380	0.485
05:30:00	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.070	0.378	0.448
05:36:00	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.045	0.376	0.421
05:42:00	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.026	0.374	0.400
05:48:00	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.013	0.372	0.385
05:54:00	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.005	0.370	0.375
06:00:00	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.001	0.368	0.369
06:06:00	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.366	0.366
06:12:00	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.364	0.364
06:18:00	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.362	0.362
06:24:00	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.360	0.360
06:30:00	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.358	0.358
06:36:00	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.356	0.356
06:42:00	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.354	0.354
06:48:00	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.352	0.352
06:54:00	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.350	0.350
07:00:00	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.348	0.348

Time (hh:mm:ss)	Rain (mm)	Sewer Loss (m³/s)	Net Rain (mm)	Runoff (m <sup>3</sup> /s)	Baseflow (m³/s)	Total Flow (m³/s)
07:06:00	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.346	0.346
07:12:00	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.344	0.344
07:18:00	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.342	0.342
07:24:00	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.340	0.340
07:30:00	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.338	0.338
07:36:00	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.336	0.336
07:42:00	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.334	0.334
07:48:00	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.333	0.333
07:54:00	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.331	0.331
08:00:00	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.329	0.329
08:06:00	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.327	0.327
08:12:00	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.325	0.325
08:18:00	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.323	0.323
08:24:00	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.322	0.322
08:30:00	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.320	0.320
08:36:00	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.318	0.318
08:42:00	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.316	0.316
08:48:00	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.314	0.314
08:54:00	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.313	0.313
09:00:00	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.311	0.311
09:06:00	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.309	0.309
09:12:00	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.307	0.307
09:18:00	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.306	0.306
09:24:00	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.304	0.304
09:30:00	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.302	0.302
09:36:00	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.301	0.301
09:42:00	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.299	0.299
09:48:00	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.297	0.297
09:54:00	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.296	0.296
10:00:00	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.294	0.294
10:06:00	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.292	0.292
10:12:00	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.291	0.291
10:18:00	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.289	0.289
10:24:00	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.287	0.287
10:30:00	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.286	0.286
10:36:00	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.284	0.284

Time (hh:mm:ss)	Rain (mm)	Sewer Loss (m³/s)	Net Rain (mm)	Runoff (m <sup>3</sup> /s)	Baseflow (m³/s)	Total Flow (m³/s)
10:42:00	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.283	0.283
10:48:00	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.281	0.281
10:54:00	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.280	0.280
11:00:00	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.278	0.278
11:06:00	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.276	0.276
11:12:00	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.275	0.275
11:18:00	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.273	0.273
11:24:00	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.272	0.272
11:30:00	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.270	0.270
11:36:00	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.269	0.269
11:42:00	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.267	0.267
11:48:00	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.266	0.266
11:54:00	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.264	0.264
12:00:00	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.263	0.263
12:06:00	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.261	0.261
12:12:00	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.260	0.260
12:18:00	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.258	0.258
12:24:00	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.257	0.257
12:30:00	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.256	0.256
12:36:00	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.254	0.254
12:42:00	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.253	0.253
12:48:00	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.251	0.251
12:54:00	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.250	0.250
13:00:00	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.249	0.249
13:06:00	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.247	0.247
13:12:00	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.246	0.246
13:18:00	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.244	0.244
13:24:00	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.243	0.243
13:30:00	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.242	0.242
13:36:00	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.240	0.240
13:42:00	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.239	0.239
13:48:00	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.238	0.238
13:54:00	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.236	0.236
14:00:00	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.235	0.235
14:06:00	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.234	0.234
14:12:00	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.232	0.232

Time (hh:mm:ss)	Rain (mm)	Sewer Loss (m³/s)	Net Rain (mm)	Runoff (m <sup>3</sup> /s)	Baseflow (m³/s)	Total Flow (m³/s)
14:18:00	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.231	0.231
14:24:00	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.230	0.230
14:30:00	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.229	0.229
14:36:00	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.227	0.227
14:42:00	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.226	0.226
14:48:00	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.225	0.225
14:54:00	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.223	0.223
15:00:00	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.222	0.222
15:06:00	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.221	0.221
15:12:00	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.220	0.220
15:18:00	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.219	0.219
15:24:00	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.217	0.217
15:30:00	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.216	0.216
15:36:00	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.215	0.215
15:42:00	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.214	0.214
15:48:00	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.212	0.212
15:54:00	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.211	0.211
16:00:00	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.210	0.210
16:06:00	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.209	0.209
16:12:00	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.208	0.208
16:18:00	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.207	0.207
16:24:00	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.205	0.205
16:30:00	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.204	0.204
16:36:00	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.203	0.203
16:42:00	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.202	0.202
16:48:00	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.201	0.201
16:54:00	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.200	0.200
17:00:00	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.199	0.199
17:06:00	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.198	0.198
17:12:00	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.196	0.196
17:18:00	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.195	0.195
17:24:00	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.194	0.194
17:30:00	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.193	0.193
17:36:00	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.192	0.192
17:42:00	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.191	0.191
17:48:00	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.190	0.190

Time (hh:mm:ss)	Rain (mm)	Sewer Loss (m³/s)	Net Rain (mm)	Runoff (m <sup>3</sup> /s)	Baseflow (m³/s)	Total Flow (m³/s)
17:54:00	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.189	0.189
18:00:00	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.188	0.188
18:06:00	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.187	0.187
18:12:00	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.186	0.186
18:18:00	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.185	0.185
18:24:00	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.184	0.184
18:30:00	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.183	0.183
18:36:00	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.182	0.182
18:42:00	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.181	0.181
18:48:00	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.180	0.180

## Appendix

**Catchment descriptors** 

Name	Value	User-defined value used?
Area (km²)	2.05	No
ALTBAR	410	No
ASPBAR	11	No
ASPVAR	0.66	No
BFIHOST	0.25	No
BFIHOST19	0.26	No
DPLBAR (km)	1.27	No
DPSBAR (mkm-1)	187	No
FARL	1	No
LDP	2.22	No
PROPWET	0.64	No
RMED1H	9	No
RMED1D	37.5	No
RMED2D	51.9	No
SAAR (mm)	1484	No
SAAR4170 (mm)	1470	No
SPRHOST	58.74	No
Urbext2000	0	No
Urbext1990	0	No
URBCONC	0	No
URBLOC	0	No
DDF parameter C	-0.03	No
DDF parameter D1	0.45	No
DDF parameter D2	0.46	No
DDF parameter D3	0.35	No
DDF parameter E	0.29	No
DDF parameter F	2.28	No
DDF parameter C (1km grid value)	-0.03	No
DDF parameter D1 (1km grid value)	0.48	No
DDF parameter D2 (1km grid value)	0.45	No
DDF parameter D3 (1km grid value)	0.37	No
DDF parameter E (1km grid value)	0.29	No
DDF parameter F (1km grid value)	2.29	No



# Appendix F

**Drainage Calculations** 

# **CRM Stormflow** Stormwater Management Software

Client:	F	RES					
Project:	Ν	И01616	-26				
Location:	Ν	Aullagho	clogh	er Wind Fa	arm		
Catchmen	t: \	Whole D	raine	ed Area			
Catchmen	t Details:				Storage Details:		
Buildings		0	m²	x 95 %	Volume	2640	Cu.m
Dense surfa	cing	97919	m²	x 60 %			
Effective Are	ea 58	3751.4	m²				
					Porosity	100	%
					Area Increase	0	%
Rainfall Details - FEH Method:					Outflow Details:		
Return Peric	bd		100	years	Infiltration rate	0	m/hr
Climate Cha	inge Factor	•	20	%			
С	-0.029	9 d1		0.45402			
d2	0.45619	9 d3		0.34706	Attenuation Control	Fixed	Outflow
е	0.2886	5 f		2.27691	Control Diameter	-	mm
					Discharge rate	97.9	l/s
	mm	mm/h	sto	orage (m <sup>3</sup> )			
30 min	35.3	70.7		1899.194			
45 min	40.2	53.6		2099.207	Results:		
60 min	44.1	44.1		2239.469	Outcome:		Pass
2 hours	55.1	27.5		2532.060	Critical Storm Dura	ion	3.55 hrs
6 hours	78.4	13.1		2489.062	Hmax		1 m
24 hours	122.4	5.1		0.000	Time to half empty		3.7 hrs



# Appendix G

**Flood Mapping** 







# **Appendix H**

Watercourse Crossing Schedule

WXREF	EASTING	NORTHING	DESCRIPTION	РНОТО	
WC01	250216	396541	NEW MIN. 0.9 M DIA CIRCULAR CULVERT (CLASS 120 CONCRETE OR EQUIVALENT). DESIGNED FOR FREE INLET CONDITIONS 1% AEP + CLIMATE CHANGE. HYDROLOGY & HYDRAULIC ASSESSMENT IN ANNEX A & B RESPECTIVELY. PEAT SUBSTRATE. CHARACTERISTIC OF ARTIFICAL HISTORIC BOG DRAINAGE. FISHERIES ASSESSMENT CONCLUDED CLOSED CULVERT IS SUITABLE.		
WC03D	230206	390288	New MIN. 0.9 M DIA CIRCULAR CULVERT (CLASS 120 CONCRETE OR EQUIVALENT). DESIGNED FOR FREE INLET CONDITIONS 1% AEP + CLIMATE CHANGE. HYDROLOGY & HYDRAULIC ASSESSMENT IN ANNEX A & B RESPECTIVELY; DUE TO PROXIMITY SAME HYDROLOGY ADOPTED FOR BOTH CULVERTS WC03 ON REALIGNED CHANNEL; CHANNEL TO HAVE EWUIVALENT OR GREATER DIMENSIONS THAN THE REPLACED CHANNEL		



WXREF	EASTING	NORTHING	DESCRIPTION	РНОТО	
WC04	250510	395725	NEW MIN. 0.6 M DIA CIRCULAR CULVERT (CLASS 120 CONCRETE OR EQUIVALENT). DESIGNED FOR FREE INLET CONDITIONS 1% AEP + CLIMATE CHANGE. HYDROLOGY & HYDRAULIC ASSESSMENT IN ANNEX A & B RESPECTIVELY. PEAT SUBSTRATE. CHARACTERISTIC OF ARTIFICAL HISTORIC BOG DRAINAGE. FISHERIES ASSESSMENT CONCLUDED CLOSED CULVERT IS SUITABLE.		N.
WC05D	250391	395623	NEW MIN. 0.6 M DIA CIRCULAR CULVERT (CLASS 120 CONCRETE OR EQUIVALENT). DESIGNED FOR FREE INLET CONDITIONS 1% AEP + CLIMATE CHANGE. HYDROLOGY & HYDRAULIC ASSESSMENT IN ANNEX A & B RESPECTIVELY. PEAT SUBSTRATE. CHARACTERISTIC OF ARTIFICAL HISTORIC BOG DRAINAGE. FISHERIES ASSESSMENT CONCLUDED CLOSED CULVERT IS SUITABLE. CULVERT PROPOSED ON REALIGNED CHANNEL; CHANNEL TO HAVE EWUIVALENT OR GREATER DIMENSIONS THAN THE REPLACED CHANNEL		R. X



WXREF	EASTING	NORTHING	DESCRIPTION	рното	
WC06	250570	395658	NEW MIN. 1.35 M DIA CIRCULAR CULVERT (CLASS 120 CONCRETE OR EQUIVALENT). DESIGNED FOR FREE INLET CONDITIONS 1% AEP + CLIMATE CHANGE. HYDROLOGY & HYDRAULIC ASSESSMENT IN ANNEX A & B RESPECTIVELY. FISHERIES ASSESSMENT CONCLUDED CLOSED CULVERT IS SUITABLE.		
WC07	251040	395901	NEW MIN. 0.6 M DIA CIRCULAR CULVERT (CLASS 120 CONCRETE OR EQUIVALENT). DESIGNED FOR FREE INLET CONDITIONS 1% AEP + CLIMATE CHANGE. HYDROLOGY & HYDRAULIC ASSESSMENT IN ANNEX A & B RESPECTIVELY. PEAT SUBSTRATE. CHARACTERISTIC OF ARTIFICAL HISTORIC BOG DRAINAGE. FISHERIES ASSESSMENT CONCLUDED CLOSED CULVERT IS SUITABLE.		



WXREF	EASTING	NORTHING	DESCRIPTION	РНОТО	
WC08	251264	396011	NEW MIN. 1.05 M DIA CIRCULAR CULVERT (CLASS 120 CONCRETE OR EQUIVALENT). DESIGNED FOR FREE INLET CONDITIONS 1% AEP + CLIMATE CHANGE. HYDROLOGY & HYDRAULIC ASSESSMENT IN ANNEX A & B RESPECTIVELY. CHARACTERISTIC OF ARTIFICAL HISTORIC BOG DRAINAGE. INCISED CHANNEL. GRAVEL SUBSTRATE MAY BE FROM EROSION / HIGH VELOCITIES UNDER FLOW CONDITIONS. FISHERIES ASSESSMENT CONCLUDED CLOSED CULVERT IS SUITABLE.		A. A
WC09	251495	396121	NEW MIN. 0.6 M DIA CIRCULAR CULVERT (CLASS 120 CONCRETE OR EQUIVALENT). DESIGNED FOR FREE INLET CONDITIONS 1% AEP + CLIMATE CHANGE. HYDROLOGY & HYDRAULIC ASSESSMENT IN ANNEX A & B RESPECTIVELY. PEAT SUBSTRATE. CHARACTERISTIC OF ARTIFICAL FIND BOUNDARY DRAINAGE. FISHERIES ASSESSMENT CONCLUDED CLOSED CULVERT IS SUITABLE.		A Start



WXREF	EASTING	NORTHING	DESCRIPTION	РНОТО	
WC10	251671	396255	NEW MIN. 0.75 M DIA CIRCULAR CULVERT (CLASS 120 CONCRETE OR EQUIVALENT). DESIGNED FOR FREE INLET CONDITIONS 1% AEP + CLIMATE CHANGE. HYDROLOGY & HYDRAULIC ASSESSMENT IN ANNEX A & B RESPECTIVELY. PEAT SUBSTRATE. CHARACTERISTIC OF ARTIFICAL HISTORIC BOG DRAINAGE. FISHERIES ASSESSMENT CONCLUDED CLOSED CULVERT IS SUITABLE.		
WC11A	251817	395824	NEW MIN. 0.75 M DIA CIRCULAR CULVERT (CLASS 120 CONCRETE OR EQUIVALENT). DESIGNED FOR FREE INLET CONDITIONS 1% AEP + CLIMATE CHANGE. HYDROLOGY & HYDRAULIC ASSESSMENT IN ANNEX A & B RESPECTIVELY. DOWNSTREAM CULVERT CATCHMENT (WC10) ADOPTED TO ENSURE PRECAUTIONARY ANALYSIS; NO ALLOWANCE MADE FOR FLOW SPLIT BETWEEN WC10 A & B DUE TO UNCERTAINTY AROUND FLOOD FLOW DIRCTIONS. PEAT SUBSTRATE IN ERODED BOG HAG. CHARACTERISTIC OF ARTIFICAL HISTORIC BOG DRAINAGE / PEAT EXTRACTION. FISHERIES ASSESSMENT CONCLUDED CLOSED CULVERT IS SUITABLE.		



WXREF	EASTING	NORTHING	DESCRIPTION	РНОТО	
WC11B	251857	395842	NEW MIN. 0.75 M DIA CIRCULAR CULVERT (CLASS 120 CONCRETE OR EQUIVALENT). DESIGNED FOR FREE INLET CONDITIONS 1% AEP + CLIMATE CHANGE. HYDROLOGY & HYDRAULIC ASSESSMENT IN ANNEX A & B RESPECTIVELY. DOWNSTREAM CULVERT CATCHMENT (WC10) ADOPTED TO ENSURE PRECAUTIONARY ANALYSIS; NO ALLOWANCE MADE FOR FLOW SPLIT BETWEEN WC10 A & B DUE TO UNCERTAINTY AROUND FLOOD FLOW DIRCTIONS. PEAT SUBSTRATE IN ERODED BOG HAG. CHARACTERISTIC OF ARTIFICAL HISTORIC BOG DRAINAGE / PEAT EXTRACTION. FISHERIES ASSESSMENT CONCLUDED CLOSED CULVERT IS SUITABLE.		
WC12	252259	396100	NEW MIN. 0.6 M DIA CIRCULAR CULVERT (CLASS 120 CONCRETE OR EQUIVALENT). DESIGNED FOR FREE INLET CONDITIONS 1% AEP + CLIMATE CHANGE. HYDROLOGY & HYDRAULIC ASSESSMENT IN ANNEX A & B RESPECTIVELY. PEAT SUBSTRATE. CHARACTERISTIC OF ARTIFICAL HISTORIC BOG DRAINAGE. FISHERIES ASSESSMENT CONCLUDED CLOSED CULVERT IS SUITABLE.		A A A


# Watercourse Crossing Schedule

WXREF	EASTING	NORTHING	DESCRIPTION	РНОТО
WC13	252770	396388	BOTTOMLESS CULVERT WITH SPAN 3.0M, HEIGHT 1.8M AND SOFFIT NOT LESS THAN 276.57 M OD. DESIGNED FOR FREE INLET CONDITIONS 1% AEP + CLIMATE CHANGE. HYDROLOGY AND HYDRAULICS DESCRIBED IN THE FLOOD RISK ASSESSMENT. FISHERIES ASSESSMENT REQUIRES BOTTOMLESS STRUCTURE TO ENSURE FISH PASSAGE / MINIMISE IN- STREAM WORKS AFFECTING WATER QUALITY.	<image/>

# Page | 7



```
ANNEX A – HYDROLOGY / FLOW ESTIMATON SUMMARY
```

## Page | 8



To estimate design floods at culvert locations. Culvert catchments are insufficient to be represented in FEH dataset. Approach adopts estimation of discharge at closest downstream point in FEH dataset by REFH2.3 methodology. REFH flow scaled pro-rata by area to respective culvert catchments

Donor Catchment			
Hydrological estimation point Catchment Area 1% AEP Present Day (cumecs)	252850_396550	2.05 sq km 8.19 from REFH2.3	from FEH dataset refer to separate REFH calculation output
Specific Discharge		4.00 cumecs/Sq.km	

## Culvert Catchment / Flow Estimation

			1% AEP	1% AEP	
CulvertID	AreaSQM	Area Sq KM	Present Day (cumecs)	Climate Change (cumecs)	
WC01	40951	0.041	0.16	0.20	
WC02	115930	0.116	0.46	0.56	
WC03D	115930	0.116	0.46	0.56	
WC04	49781	0.050	0.20	0.24	
WC05D	33582	0.034	0.13	0.16	
WC06	364557	0.365	1.46	1.75	
WC07	40750	0.041	0.16	0.20	
WC08	206557	0.207	0.83	0.99	
WC09	51478	0.051	0.21	0.25	
WC10	49416	0.049	0.20	0.24	
WC11A	49416	0.049	0.20	0.24	
WC11B	49416	0.049	0.20	0.24	
WC12	23777	0.024	0.09	0.11	
WC13	Refer to Flood Risk & Drainage Assessment Appendix D - assessed by flood modelling				

# **UK Design Flood Estimation**

Generated on 19 September 2023 15:51:07 by terminal Printed from the ReFH2 Flood Modelling software package, version 4.0.8560.23190

# Summary of estimate using the Flood Estimation Handbook revitalised flood hydrograph method (ReFH2)

## Site details

Checksum: 93EF-CADA

Site name: FEH\_Catchment\_Descriptors\_252850\_396550\_v5\_0\_1 Easting: 252850 Northing: 396550 Country: England, Wales or Northern Ireland Catchment Area (km<sup>2</sup>): 2.05

Using plot scale calculations: No

Model: 2.3

Site description: None

# Model run: 100 year

## Summary of results

Rainfall - FEH22 (mm):	50.28	Total runoff (ML):	60.96
Total Rainfall (mm):	38.89	Total flow (ML):	79.51
Peak Rainfall (mm):	4.07	Peak flow (m³/s):	8.19

## Parameters

Where the user has overriden a system-generated value, this original value is shown in square brackets after the value used.

\* Indicates that the user locked the duration/timestep

## Rainfall parameters (Rainfall - FEH22)

Name	Value	User-defined?
Duration (hh:mm:ss)	02:30:00	No
Timestep (hh:mm:ss)	00:06:00	No
SCF (Seasonal correction factor)	0.8	No
ARF (Areal reduction factor)	0.96	No
Seasonality	Winter	No
Loss model parameters		
Name	Value	User-defined?
Cini (mm)	128.62	No
Cmax (mm)	193.15	No
Use alpha correction factor	No	No
Alpha correction factor	n/a	No

## Routing model parameters

Name	Value	User-defined?
Tp (hr)	1	No
Up	0.65	No
Uk	0.8	No
Baseflow model parameters		
Name	Value	User-defined?
BF0 (m <sup>3</sup> /s)	0.18	No
BL (hr)	17.86	No
BR	0.3	No
Urbanisation parameters		
Name	Value	User-defined?
Sewer capacity (m <sup>3</sup> /s)	0	No
Exporting drained area (km <sup>2</sup> )	0	No
Urban area (km²)	0	No
Urbext 2000	0	No
Impervious runoff factor	0.7	No
Imperviousness factor	0.4	No
Tp scaling factor	0.75	No
Depression storage depth (mm)	0.5	No

# Appendix

**Catchment descriptors** 

Name	Value	User-defined value used?
Area (km²)	2.05	No
ALTBAR	410	No
ASPBAR	11	No
ASPVAR	0.66	No
BFIHOST	0.25	No
BFIHOST19	0.26	No
DPLBAR (km)	1.27	No
DPSBAR (mkm-1)	187	No
FARL	1	No
LDP	2.22	No
PROPWET	0.64	No
RMED1H	9	No
RMED1D	37.5	No
RMED2D	51.9	No
SAAR (mm)	1484	No
SAAR4170 (mm)	1470	No
SPRHOST	58.74	No
Urbext2000	0	No
Urbext1990	0	No
URBCONC	0	No
URBLOC	0	No
DDF parameter C	-0.03	No
DDF parameter D1	0.45	No
DDF parameter D2	0.46	No
DDF parameter D3	0.35	No
DDF parameter E	0.29	No
DDF parameter F	2.28	No
DDF parameter C (1km grid value)	-0.03	No
DDF parameter D1 (1km grid value)	0.48	No
DDF parameter D2 (1km grid value)	0.45	No
DDF parameter D3 (1km grid value)	0.37	No
DDF parameter E (1km grid value)	0.29	No
DDF parameter F (1km grid value)	2.29	No

Watercourse Crossing Schedule

ANNEX B - HYDRAULICS – CULVERT SIZING

## Page | 9



To determine the adequacy of hydraulic capacity for culverts in accordance with the requirements of CIRIA C689

##	<u>Input Data:</u>				
	Culvert Ref:		WC01		
	Coordinates (E/N)		250149	396193	
	Function / Description	Track crossing			
	Design Discharge Q		0.2	m3/sec	As per Hydrological Analysis
	Design Return Period		100+CC	Yrs	As per LA requirement
	Elevation of Stream Bed @ Culvert Inlet		223.9	m AOD	From Survey
	Elevation of Stream Bed @ Culvert Outlet		223.5	m AOD	From Survey
	Culvert Length		15	m	From Survey
	Elevation of Stream bed upstream of Culvert		224.7	m AOD	From Survey
	Distance upstream of Culvert		45	m	From Survey
	Elevation of Stream bed downstream of Culvert		222	m AOD	From Survey
	Distance downstream of Culvert		40	m	From Survey
	Elevation of Proposed Embankment Crest		227	m AOD	From Survey
	Average channel invert width		0.5	m	From Survey
	Average channel top of bank width		0.7	m	From Survey
	Average Channel Depth to Bank		0.6	m	From Survey
	Left Over-Bank Ground Level (Floodplain) (Culvert Inlet)		224.9	m AOD	From Survey
	Distance from bank		5	m	From Survey
	Right Over-Bank Ground Level (Floodplain) (Culvert Inlet)		224.9	m AOD	From Survey
	Distance from bank		5	m	From Survey
	Mannings n - Channel		0.1		From C689 Table A1.1
	Mannings n - Overbanks		0.06		From C689 Table A1.1
	Bedslope upstream of Culvert 1 in S1	S1	56.25	-	Calculated
	Bedslope downstream of Culvert 1 in S2	S2	26.67	-	Calculated
	Bedslope across Culvert 1 in S3	S3	37.50	-	Calculated
	Bedslope across whole reach considered 1 in S4	S4	37.04	-	Calculated
	Channel Side Slopes 1 in X	X =	0.17	-	Calculated
	Upstream Left Over- Bank Slope	Y =	12.50	-	Calculated
	Upstream Right Over- Bank Slope	Z =	12.50	-	Calculated

#### ## <u>Calculate Tailwater Depth and Level:</u>

Mannings Equation:

 $Q = VA = \left(\frac{1.00}{n}\right)AR^{\frac{2}{3}}\sqrt{s}$  [SI]  $R_h = \frac{A}{P}$ 

$Q - VA = \left(\frac{1}{n}\right)AK \sqrt{3}  [31]  M_n = P$			
Discharge Contained	d in Cha	nnel, Depth of Normal Fl	ow Considered
Depth of water in channel is	Y <sub>dc</sub>	0.60 m	Calculated
Therefore water level at downstream extent of culvert is	WLt	224.10 mAOD	Calculated
	$V_{dc}$	0.76 m/s	Calculated





$H_t = Z_{bo} + y_{dc} + \frac{V_{dc}^2}{2g}$	Where:	Z <sub>bo</sub> Y <sub>dc</sub> V <sub>dc</sub>	Elevation @ Cul Water depth in Velocity in dow	vert Outlet downstream cl nstream chann	nannel el
Τάιιναιει ειεναιιοπ.		$\mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{t}}$	224.13	mAOD	Calculated
## <u>Calculate Froude No.</u>					
Cross Sectiona	l Area (A)		2.46	m2	
Тор	Width (B)		7.70	m	
Hydraulic mean depth (A p	er unit B)	d <sub>m</sub>	0.32	m	
Froude	e Number	Fr	0.43	Subcritical	

Critical d	epth in channel Critical Velocity	h <sub>c</sub> v <sub>c</sub>

## Initial Design

Initial Estimate of required culvert cross sectional area required. Analysis is based on new culvert, therefore design should allow for freeflow conditions. Applicable method is Flow Area Method

0.51 m

1.77 m/s

##	Flow Area Method - refer to C689 Section 6.7.1			
	Depth; Min. Tailwater depth	D	0.60 m	Calculated
	Assume 20% Initial loss of culvert height due to Freeboa	rd / S	0.75 m	Calculated
	where freeboard depth is:	F	0.07 m	Calculated
	Area required as per tailwater fllow calculation:	At	2.46 m2	Calculated
	Nominal width (Area / Depth (not inc. freeboard):		4.10 m	Calculated
	Therefore prelim culvert dimensions (incl freeboard + si	Di	0.75 m	Calculated
		<b>B</b> <sub>i</sub>	4.10 m	Calculated
##	<u>Detailed Design</u>			
##	Try Culvert dimensions			
	Based on previous Initial Design			
	Height / Diameter	D	0.75 m	
	Breadth (BLANK IF CIRCULAR)	В	m	
	Number of Culverts	nr	1 n/a	
	Shape		CIRCULAR	
	Freeboard		0.19 m	As per CIRIA Guidance
	Siltation / Depth lowered below ex. stream invert		0.05 m	Manually Entered Value
	Therefore:			
	Upstream Pipe Invert		223.85 mAOD	
	Upstream Pipe Base (w/Silt)Elevation	Zi	223.90 mAOD	
	Upstream Soffit Elevation		224.60 mAOD	
	Downstream Pipe Invert Elevation		223.45 mAOD	
	Downstream Pipe Base (w/ Silt) Elevation	Zo	223.50 mAOD	
	Downstream Soffit Elevation		224.20 mAOD	



a = 1.811Q	Where		
$q_i = \frac{1}{A D^{0.5}}$	Discharge	Q	0.2 m3/s
ь	Depth / Diameter of barrell	D	0.70 m
Culvert cross section ar	ea excl. freeboard + siltation	Ab	0.34 m2
	Discharge Coefficient	qi	1.26 n/a
Dischar	rge intensity classification is:		Free Flow Inlet Control

## ## Calculation of headwater depth for free flow inlet control

Based on Table A1.3, Culvert type isNr2n/ai.e,Circular concrete pipe; Headwall, socket end of pipe

 $\frac{E_{ab}}{D} = \frac{E_{ac}}{D} + k \left[ \frac{1.811Q}{A_b D^{0.5}} \right]^M - 0.5S_0$  Eqn 6.23  $\frac{E_{ab}}{D} = k \left[ \frac{1.811Q}{A_b D^{0.5}} \right]^M$  Eqn 6.25

Therefore applicable CIRIA C689 equation reference:

Equation 6.23

Where	Discharge	Q	0.2 m3/s	
	Depth / Diameter of barrell	D	0.7 m	
	Unsubmerged analysis constant	k	0.0078	Table A1.3
	Unsubmerged analysis constant	М	2	Table A1.3
Culvert cro	ss section area excl. freeboard + siltation	Ab	0.34 m2	
o2m	Culvert Slope	So	0.03 m/m	1 in 37.5
$\frac{Q^2W}{gA^3} = 1$	Critical depth calculated as:	Уc	0.238 m	
. 3	Specific Energy at Critical Depth	Esc	0.36 m	
$E_{sc} = \frac{1}{2} y_c$	Therefore Specific Energy of Headwater	E <sub>sh</sub>	0.36 m	

#### ## Calculation of headwater elevation for inlet control

Headwater Elevation  $H_{hic}\,determined$  by:

$H_{12} = Z_1 + I$	E + h Where			
nic i	Headloss due to inlet screen	h₅	N/A	(No Screen Proposed)
	Stream Elevation at Inlet	Zi	223.90	mAOD
	Specific Energy of Headwater	$E_{sh}$	0.36	m
	Therefore Headwater Elevation:	$H_{hic}$	224.26	mAOD

Water Level at the headwater for inlet control WL<sub>hic</sub> determined by:

$WL_{} = H_{}$	NC.	Where		
NIC NIC	2g	Headwater Elevation:	$H_{hic}$	224.26 mAOD
		Velocity in Upstream Channel	$V_{uc}$	0.76 m/s
	Therefo	ore Water Level at Inlet:	$WL_{hic}$	224.23 mAOD





#### ## <u>Summary</u>

	Complies	Fails	Comment
Culvert Inlet Soffit Elevation > Headwater Elevation for Inlet C	Х		
Adequate Freeboard provided to water level?	Х		

Therefore proposed culvert dimensions:

1 nr Diameter

0.75 m

Ву	Checked	Revision	Date
KS	KS	Original	18/10/2023



To determine the adequacy of hydraulic capacity for culverts in accordance with the requirements of CIRIA C689

##	<u>Input Data:</u>				
	Culvert Ref:		WC010		
	Coordinates (E/N)		251671	396255	
	Function / Description		Track crossing		
	Design Discharge Q		0.24	m3/sec	As per Hydrological Analysis
	Design Return Period		100+CC	Yrs	As per LA requirement
	Elevation of Stream Bed @ Culvert Inlet		331	m AOD	From Survey
	Elevation of Stream Bed @ Culvert Outlet		327.9	m AOD	From Survey
	Culvert Length		10	m	From Survey
	Elevation of Stream bed upstream of Culvert		342.5	m AOD	From Survey
	Distance upstream of Culvert		40	m	From Survey
	Elevation of Stream bed downstream of Culvert		317.7	m AOD	From Survey
	Distance downstream of Culvert		40	m	From Survey
	Elevation of Proposed Embankment Crest		333	m AOD	From Survey
	Average channel invert width		0.4	m	From Survey
	Average channel top of bank width		0.4	m	From Survey
	Average Channel Depth to Bank		0.2	m	From Survey
	Left Over-Bank Ground Level (Floodplain) (Culvert Inlet)		331.4	m AOD	From Survey
	Distance from bank		5	m	From Survey
	Right Over-Bank Ground Level (Floodplain) (Culvert Inlet)		332.1	m AOD	From Survey
	Distance from bank		5	m	From Survey
	Mannings n - Channel		0.1		From C689 Table A1.1
	Mannings n - Overbanks		0.06		From C689 Table A1.1
	Bedslope upstream of Culvert 1 in S1	S1	3.48	-	Calculated
	Bedslope downstream of Culvert 1 in S2	S2	3.92	-	Calculated
	Bedslope across Culvert 1 in S3	S3	3.23	-	Calculated
	Bedslope across whole reach considered 1 in S4	S4	3.63	-	Calculated
	Channel Side Slopes 1 in X	X =	0.00	-	Calculated
	Upstream Left Over- Bank Slope	Y =	25.00	-	Calculated
	Upstream Right Over- Bank Slope	Z =	5.56	-	Calculated

#### ## <u>Calculate Tailwater Depth and Level:</u>

Mannings Equation:

 $Q = VA = \left(\frac{1.00}{n}\right) AR^{\frac{3}{2}} \sqrt{s} \quad [SI] \qquad R_h = \frac{A}{P}$ 

Channel Capacity <	Discha	rge, Out of Bank Flooding	g Considered
Depth of water in channel is	Ydc	0.36 m	Calculated
Therefore water level at downstream extent of culvert i	WLt	328.26 mAOD	Calculated
	V <sub>dc</sub>	1.65 m/s	Calculated





$H_t = Z_{bo} + y_{dc} + \frac{V_{dc}^2}{2g}$	Where:	Z <sub>bo</sub> Y <sub>dc</sub> V <sub>dc</sub>	Elevation @ Culvert Outlet Water depth in downstream ch Velocity in downstream channe	annel el
Tailwater Elevation:		Ht	328.41 mAOD	Calculated
## <u>Calculate Froude No.</u>				
Cross Sectiona	al Area (A)		0.15 m2	
Τορ	o Width (B)		5.25 m	
Hydraulic mean depth (A j	per unit B)	d <sub>m</sub>	0.03 m	
Froud	e Number	Fr	3.15 Supercritical	
Critical depth i	in channel	h <sub>c</sub>	0.26 m	

Critical Velocity v<sub>c</sub>

## Initial Design

Initial Estimate of required culvert cross sectional area required. Analysis is based on new culvert, therefore design should allow for freeflow conditions. Applicable method is Flow Area Method

0.52 m/s

##	Flow Area Method - refer to C689 Section 6.7.1			
	Depth; Min. Tailwater depth	D	0.36 m	Calculated
	Assume 20% Initial loss of culvert height due to Freeboa	rd / S	0.45 m	Calculated
	where freeboard depth is:	F	0.04 m	Calculated
	Area required as per tailwater fllow calculation:	At	0.15 m2	Calculated
	Nominal width (Area / Depth (not inc. freeboard):		0.41 m	Calculated
	Therefore prelim culvert dimensions (incl freeboard + si	Di	0.45 m	Calculated
		<b>B</b> <sub>i</sub>	0.41 m	Calculated
##	<u>Detailed Design</u>			
##	Try Culvert dimensions			
	Based on previous Initial Design			
	Height / Diameter	D	0.75 m	
	Breadth (BLANK IF CIRCULAR)	В	m	
	Number of Culverts	nr	1 n/a	
	Shape		CIRCULAR	
	Freeboard		0.19 m	As per CIRIA Guidance
	Siltation / Depth lowered below ex. stream invert		0.05 m	Manually Entered Value
	Therefore:			
	Upstream Pipe Invert		330.95 mAOD	
	Upstream Pipe Base (w/Silt)Elevation	Zi	331.00 mAOD	
	Upstream Soffit Elevation		331.70 mAOD	
	Downstream Pipe Invert Elevation		327.85 mAOD	
	Downstream Pipe Base (w/ Silt) Elevation	Zo	327.90 mAOD	
	Downstream Soffit Elevation		328.60 mAOD	



$a = \frac{1.811Q}{1.811Q}$	Where		
$q_i = \frac{1}{A D^{0.5}}$	Discharge	Q	0.24 m3/s
b	Depth / Diameter of barrell	D	0.70 m
Culvert cross section a	area excl. freeboard + siltation	Ab	0.34 m2
	Discharge Coefficient	qi	1.52 n/a
Disch	arge intensity classification is:		Free Flow Inlet Control

## ## Calculation of headwater depth for free flow inlet control

Based on Table A1.3, Culvert type isNr2n/ai.e,Circular concrete pipe; Headwall, socket end of pipe

 $\frac{E_{sh}}{D} = \frac{E_{sc}}{D} + k \left[ \frac{1.811Q}{A_b D^{0.5}} \right]^M - 0.5S_0$  Eqn 6.23  $\frac{E_{sh}}{D} = k \left[ \frac{1.811Q}{A_b D^{0.5}} \right]^M$  Eqn 6.25

Therefore applicable CIRIA C689 equation reference:

Equation 6.23

Where	Discharge	Q	0.24 m3/s	
	Depth / Diameter of barrell	D	0.7 m	
	Unsubmerged analysis constant	k	0.0078	Table A1.3
	Unsubmerged analysis constant	М	2	Table A1.3
Culvert cros	ss section area excl. freeboard + siltation	Ab	0.34 m2	
c2m	Culvert Slope	So	0.31 m/m	1 in 3.23
$\frac{Q^2W}{gA^3} = 1$	Critical depth calculated as:	Уc	0.265 m	
. 3	Specific Energy at Critical Depth	E <sub>sc</sub>	0.40 m	
$E_{sc} = \frac{1}{2} y_c$	Therefore Specific Energy of Headwater	$E_{sh}$	0.30 m	

#### ## Calculation of headwater elevation for inlet control

Headwater Elevation H<sub>hic</sub> determined by:

$H_{\mu\nu} = Z_{\mu} + E_{\mu\nu}$	+ h Where			
nic i sn	Headloss due to inlet screen	hs	N/A	(No Screen Proposed)
	Stream Elevation at Inlet	Zi	331.00	mAOD
	Specific Energy of Headwater	$E_{sh}$	0.30	m
Th	erefore Headwater Elevation:	H <sub>hic</sub>	331.30	mAOD

Water Level at the headwater for inlet control  $WL_{hic}$  determined by:

$V^2$			
$WL_{} = H_{} - \frac{uc}{uc}$	Where		
hic hic 2g	Headwater Elevation:	$H_{hic}$	331.30 mAOD
	Velocity in Upstream Channel	$V_{uc}$	1.65 m/s
There	Fore Water Level at Inlet:	$WL_{hic}$	331.16 mAOD





#### ## <u>Summary</u>

	Complies	Fails	Comment
Culvert Inlet Soffit Elevation > Headwater Elevation for Inlet C	Х		
Adequate Freeboard provided to water level?	Х		
		-	-

Therefore proposed culvert dimensions:

1 nr Diameter

0.75 m

Ву	Checked	Revision	Date
KS	KS	Original	19/10/2023



To determine the adequacy of hydraulic capacity for culverts in accordance with the requirements of CIRIA C689

##	<u>Input Data:</u>				
	Culvert Ref:		WC011A		
	Coordinates (E/N)		251817	395824	
	Function / Description		Track crossing		
	Design Discharge Q		0.24	m3/sec	As per Hydrological Analysis
	Design Return Period		100+CC	Yrs	As per LA requirement
	Elevation of Stream Bed @ Culvert Inlet		407.9	m AOD	From Survey
	Elevation of Stream Bed @ Culvert Outlet		407.3	m AOD	From Survey
	Culvert Length		10	m	From Survey
	Elevation of Stream bed upstream of Culvert		408.2	m AOD	From Survey
	Distance upstream of Culvert		20	m	From Survey
	Elevation of Stream bed downstream of Culvert		405	m AOD	From Survey
	Distance downstream of Culvert		20	m	From Survey
	Elevation of Proposed Embankment Crest		409.9	m AOD	From Survey
	Average channel invert width		0.5	m	From Survey
	Average channel top of bank width		1	m	From Survey
	Average Channel Depth to Bank		0.8	m	From Survey
	Left Over-Bank Ground Level (Floodplain) (Culvert Inlet)		408.5	m AOD	From Survey
	Distance from bank		5	m	From Survey
	Right Over-Bank Ground Level (Floodplain) (Culvert Inlet)		408.3	m AOD	From Survey
	Distance from bank		5	m	From Survey
	Mannings n - Channel		0.1		From C689 Table A1.1
	Mannings n - Overbanks		0.06		From C689 Table A1.1
	Bedslope upstream of Culvert 1 in S1	<b>S</b> 1	66.67	-	Calculated
	Bedslope downstream of Culvert 1 in S2	S2	8.70	-	Calculated
	Bedslope across Culvert 1 in S3	S3	16.67	-	Calculated
	Bedslope across whole reach considered 1 in S4	S4	15.63	-	Calculated
	Channel Side Slopes 1 in X	X =	0.31	-	Calculated
	Upstream Left Over- Bank Slope	Y =	-25.00	-	Calculated
	Upstream Right Over- Bank Slope	Z =	-12.50	-	Calculated

#### ## <u>Calculate Tailwater Depth and Level:</u>

Mannings Equation:

 $Q = VA = \left(\frac{1.00}{n}\right) AR^{\frac{3}{2}} \sqrt{s} \quad [SI] \qquad R_h = \frac{A}{P}$ 

Discharge Contained	l in Cha	Innel, Depth of Normal Flo	w Considered
Depth of water in channel is	Ydc	0.25 m	Calculated
Therefore water level at downstream extent of culvert i:	WLt	407.55 mAOD	Calculated
	$V_{dc}$	0.72 m/s	Calculated





$H_t = Z_{bo}$	$+y_{dc} + \frac{V_{dc}^2}{2g}$ When	re: Z <sub>bo</sub> Y <sub>dc</sub> V <sub>dc</sub>	Elevation @ Cul Water depth in Velocity in dow	vert Outlet downstream c nstream chann	hannel Iel
Tanwater Elevation	n:	H <sub>t</sub>	407.58	mAOD	Calculated
## <u>Calculate Froude I</u>	<u>No.</u>				
	Cross Sectional Area (	(A)	0.33	m2	
	Top Width	(B)	2.13	m	
	Hydraulic mean depth (A per unit	B) d <sub>m</sub>	0.16	m	
	Froude Numb	er Fr	0.58	Subcritical	
	Critical depth in chanr	nel $h_c$	0.17	m	

Critical Velocity v<sub>c</sub>

## Initial Design

Initial Estimate of required culvert cross sectional area required. Analysis is based on new culvert, therefore design should allow for freeflow conditions. Applicable method is Flow Area Method

1.24 m/s

##	Flow Area Method - refer to C689 Section 6.7.1			
	Depth; Min. Tailwater depth	D	0.25 m	Calculated
	Assume 20% Initial loss of culvert height due to Freeboa	rd / S	0.32 m	Calculated
	where freeboard depth is:	F	0.03 m	Calculated
	Area required as per tailwater fllow calculation:	At	0.33 m2	Calculated
	Nominal width (Area / Depth (not inc. freeboard):		1.31 m	Calculated
	Therefore prelim culvert dimensions (incl freeboard + si	Di	0.32 m	Calculated
		<b>B</b> <sub>i</sub>	1.31 m	Calculated
##	<u>Detailed Design</u>			
##	Try Culvert dimensions			
	Based on previous Initial Design			
	Height / Diameter	D	0.75 m	
	Breadth (BLANK IF CIRCULAR)	В	m	
	Number of Culverts	nr	1 n/a	
	Shape		CIRCULAR	
	Freeboard		0.19 m	As per CIRIA Guidance
	Siltation / Depth lowered below ex. stream invert		0.05 m	Manually Entered Value
	Therefore:			
	Upstream Pipe Invert		407.85 mAOD	
	Upstream Pipe Base (w/Silt)Elevation	Zi	407.90 mAOD	
	Upstream Soffit Elevation		408.60 mAOD	
	Downstream Pipe Invert Elevation		407.25 mAOD	
	Downstream Pipe Base (w/ Silt) Elevation	Zo	407.30 mAOD	
	Downstream Soffit Elevation		408.00 mAOD	



$a = \frac{1.811Q}{2}$	Where		
$q_i = \frac{1}{A D^{0.5}}$	Discharge	Q	0.24 m3/s
b	Depth / Diameter of barrell	D	0.70 m
Culvert cross section	area excl. freeboard + siltation	Ab	0.34 m2
	Discharge Coefficient	qi	1.52 n/a
Disch	arge intensity classification is:		Free Flow Inlet Control

## ## Calculation of headwater depth for free flow inlet control

Based on Table A1.3, Culvert type isNr2n/ai.e,Circular concrete pipe; Headwall, socket end of pipe

 $\frac{E_{sh}}{D} = \frac{E_{sc}}{D} + k \left[ \frac{1.811Q}{A_b D^{0.5}} \right]^M - 0.5S_0$  Eqn 6.23  $\frac{E_{sh}}{D} = k \left[ \frac{1.811Q}{A_b D^{0.5}} \right]^M$  Eqn 6.25

Therefore applicable CIRIA C689 equation reference:

Equation 6.23

Where	Discharge	Q	0.24 m3/s	
	Depth / Diameter of barrell	D	0.7 m	
	Unsubmerged analysis constant	k	0.0078	Table A1.3
	Unsubmerged analysis constant	М	2	Table A1.3
Culvert cros	ss section area excl. freeboard + siltation	Ab	0.34 m2	
o <sup>2</sup> m	Culvert Slope	So	0.06 m/m	1 in 16.67
$\frac{Q^2W}{gA^3} = 1$	Critical depth calculated as:	Уc	0.265 m	
. 3	Specific Energy at Critical Depth	Esc	0.40 m	
$E_{sc} = \frac{1}{2} y_c$	Therefore Specific Energy of Headwater	$E_{sh}$	0.39 m	

#### ## Calculation of headwater elevation for inlet control

Headwater Elevation H<sub>hic</sub> determined by:

$H_{1,1} = Z_1 + E_{-1} + E_{-1}$	h Where			
nic i sn	Headloss due to inlet screen	hs	N/A	(No Screen Proposed)
	Stream Elevation at Inlet	Zi	407.90	mAOD
	Specific Energy of Headwater	$E_{sh}$	0.39	m
There	efore Headwater Elevation:	$H_{hic}$	408.29	mAOD

Water Level at the headwater for inlet control WL<sub>hic</sub> determined by:

$V^2$			
$WL_{} = H_{} - \frac{uc}{uc}$	Where		
nic nic 2g	Headwater Elevation:	$H_{hic}$	408.3 mAOD
	Velocity in Upstream Channel	$V_{uc}$	0.72 m/s
There	fore Water Level at Inlet:	$WL_{hic}$	408.3 mAOD





#### ## <u>Summary</u>

	Complies	Fails	Comment
Culvert Inlet Soffit Elevation > Headwater Elevation for Inlet C	Х		
Adequate Freeboard provided to water level?	Х		
			-

Therefore proposed culvert dimensions:

1 nr Diameter

0.75 m

Ву	Checked	Revision	Date
KS	KS	Original	19/10/2023



To determine the adequacy of hydraulic capacity for culverts in accordance with the requirements of CIRIA C689

##	<u>Input Data:</u>				
	Culvert Ref:		WC011A		
	Coordinates (E/N)		251857	395842	
	Function / Description		Track crossing		
	Design Discharge Q		0.24	m3/sec	As per Hydrological Analysis
	Design Return Period		100+CC	Yrs	As per LA requirement
	Elevation of Stream Bed @ Culvert Inlet		403.8	m AOD	From Survey
	Elevation of Stream Bed @ Culvert Outlet		402.7	m AOD	From Survey
	Culvert Length		10	m	From Survey
	Elevation of Stream bed upstream of Culvert		404.3	m AOD	From Survey
	Distance upstream of Culvert		20	m	From Survey
	Elevation of Stream bed downstream of Culvert		402	m AOD	From Survey
	Distance downstream of Culvert		20	m	From Survey
	Elevation of Proposed Embankment Crest		405.8	m AOD	From Survey
	Average channel invert width		4	m	From Survey
	Average channel top of bank width		5	m	From Survey
	Average Channel Depth to Bank		1	m	From Survey
	Left Over-Bank Ground Level (Floodplain) (Culvert Inlet)		405	m AOD	From Survey
	Distance from bank		5	m	From Survey
	Right Over-Bank Ground Level (Floodplain) (Culvert Inlet)		404.5	m AOD	From Survey
	Distance from bank		5	m	From Survey
	Mannings n - Channel		0.1		From C689 Table A1.1
	Mannings n - Overbanks		0.06		From C689 Table A1.1
	Bedslope upstream of Culvert 1 in S1	S1	40.00	-	Calculated
	Bedslope downstream of Culvert 1 in S2	S2	28.57	-	Calculated
	Bedslope across Culvert 1 in S3	S3	9.09	-	Calculated
	Bedslope across whole reach considered 1 in S4	S4	21.74	-	Calculated
	Channel Side Slopes 1 in X	X =	0.50	-	Calculated
	Upstream Left Over- Bank Slope	Y =	25.00	-	Calculated
	Upstream Right Over- Bank Slope	Z =	-16.67	-	Calculated

#### ## Calculate Tailwater Depth and Level:

Mannings Equation:

402.60 0.00

 $Q = VA = \left(\frac{1.00}{n}\right) AR^{\frac{3}{2}} \sqrt{s} \quad [SI] \qquad R_h = \frac{A}{P}$ 

0.05

	Discharge Contained	Discharge Contained in Channel, Depth of Normal Flow Considered			
	Depth of water in channel is	Y <sub>dc</sub>	0.12 m	Calculated	
	merelore water level at downstream extent of curvert is	VV L <sub>t</sub> V <sub>dc</sub>	402.82 mAOD 0.49 m/s	Calculated	
##	Rating Curve for Tailwater Channel Discharge	ac			

## **Rating Curve for Tailwater Depth** 403.80 Water Level (mAOD) 403.60 403.40 403.20 403.00 402.80

0.10

WC011B - Culvert Design - CIRIA C689 Free Inlet Conditions Rev00.xlsm

0.25

0.30

13/12/2023

0.15

Discharge (m3/sec)

0.20



$H_t = Z_{bo} + y_{dc} + \frac{V_{dc}^2}{2g}$	Where:	Z <sub>bo</sub> Y <sub>dc</sub> V <sub>dc</sub>	Elevation @ Culvert Outlet Water depth in downstream o Velocity in downstream chann	hannel nel
Tailwater Elevation:		Ht	402.83 mAOD	Calculated
## <u>Calculate Froude No.</u>				
Cross Sectiona	l Area (A)		0.49 m2	
Тор	Width (B)		4.46 m	
Hydraulic mean depth (A p	oer unit B)	d <sub>m</sub>	0.11 m	
Froud	e Number	Fr	0.47 Subcritical	
Critical depth i	n channel	h <sub>c</sub>	0.07 m	

Critical Velocity v<sub>c</sub>

## Initial Design

Initial Estimate of required culvert cross sectional area required. Analysis is based on new culvert, therefore design should allow for freeflow conditions. Applicable method is Flow Area Method

1.04 m/s

##	Flow Area Method - refer to C689 Section 6.7.1			
	Depth; Min. Tailwater depth	D	0.12 m	Calculated
	Assume 20% Initial loss of culvert height due to Freeboa	rd / S	0.15 m	Calculated
	where freeboard depth is:	F	0.01 m	Calculated
	Area required as per tailwater fllow calculation:	At	0.49 m2	Calculated
	Nominal width (Area / Depth (not inc. freeboard):		4.23 m	Calculated
	Therefore prelim culvert dimensions (incl freeboard + si	Di	0.15 m	Calculated
		<b>B</b> <sub>i</sub>	4.23 m	Calculated
##	<u>Detailed Design</u>			
##	Try Culvert dimensions			
	Based on previous Initial Design			
	Height / Diameter	D	0.75 m	
	Breadth (BLANK IF CIRCULAR)	В	m	
	Number of Culverts	nr	1 n/a	
	Shape		CIRCULAR	
	Freeboard		0.19 m	As per CIRIA Guidance
	Siltation / Depth lowered below ex. stream invert		0.05 m	Manually Entered Value
	Therefore:			
	Upstream Pipe Invert		403.75 mAOD	
	Upstream Pipe Base (w/Silt)Elevation	Zi	403.80 mAOD	
	Upstream Soffit Elevation		404.50 mAOD	
	Downstream Pipe Invert Elevation		402.65 mAOD	
	Downstream Pipe Base (w/ Silt) Elevation	Zo	402.70 mAOD	
	Downstream Soffit Elevation		403.40 mAOD	



$a = \frac{1.811Q}{1.811Q}$	Where		
$q_i = \frac{1}{A D^{0.5}}$	Discharge	Q	0.24 m3/s
b	Depth / Diameter of barrell	D	0.70 m
Culvert cross section a	area excl. freeboard + siltation	Ab	0.34 m2
	Discharge Coefficient	qi	1.52 n/a
Disch	arge intensity classification is:		Free Flow Inlet Control

## ## Calculation of headwater depth for free flow inlet control

Based on Table A1.3, Culvert type isNr2n/ai.e,Circular concrete pipe; Headwall, socket end of pipe

 $\frac{E_{ab}}{D} = \frac{E_{ac}}{D} + k \left[ \frac{1.811Q}{A_b D^{0.5}} \right]^M - 0.5S_0$  Eqn 6.23  $\frac{E_{ab}}{D} = k \left[ \frac{1.811Q}{A_b D^{0.5}} \right]^M$  Eqn 6.25

Therefore applicable CIRIA C689 equation reference:

Equation 6.23

Where	Discharge	Q	0.24 m3/s	
	Depth / Diameter of barrell	D	0.7 m	
	Unsubmerged analysis constant	k	0.0078	Table A1.3
	Unsubmerged analysis constant	М	2	Table A1.3
Culvert cros	ss section area excl. freeboard + siltation	Ab	0.34 m2	
c2m	Culvert Slope	So	0.11 m/m	1 in 9.09
$\frac{Q^2W}{gA^3} = 1$	Critical depth calculated as:	Уc	0.265 m	
3	Specific Energy at Critical Depth	E <sub>sc</sub>	0.40 m	
$E_{sc} = \frac{1}{2} y_c$	Therefore Specific Energy of Headwater	E <sub>sh</sub>	0.37 m	

#### ## Calculation of headwater elevation for inlet control

Headwater Elevation  $H_{hic}\,determined$  by:

$H_{ij} = Z_i + E_j$	+ h Where			
nic i 3	Headloss due to inlet screen	hs	N/A	(No Screen Proposed)
	Stream Elevation at Inlet	Zi	403.80	mAOD
	Specific Energy of Headwater	E <sub>sh</sub>	0.37	m
г	Therefore Headwater Elevation:	$H_{hic}$	404.17	mAOD

Water Level at the headwater for inlet control  $\mathsf{WL}_{\mathsf{hic}}$  determined by:

$WL_{} = H_{}$ -	When When	e	
hic hic	2g Headwater Elevation	n: H <sub>hic</sub>	404.17 mAOD
	Velocity in Upstream Channe	el V <sub>uc</sub>	0.49 m/s
	Therefore Water Level at Inlet:	$WL_{hic}$	404.16 mAOD





#### ## <u>Summary</u>

	Complies	Fails	Comment
Culvert Inlet Soffit Elevation > Headwater Elevation for Inlet C	Х		
Adequate Freeboard provided to water level?	Х		
		-	-

Therefore proposed culvert dimensions:

1 nr Diameter

0.75 m

Ву	Checked	Revision	Date
KS	KS	Original	19/10/2023



To determine the adequacy of hydraulic capacity for culverts in accordance with the requirements of CIRIA C689

##	<u>Input Data:</u>				
	Culvert Ref:		WC012		
	Coordinates (E/N)		252259	396100	
	Function / Description		Track crossing		
	Design Discharge Q		0.11	m3/sec	As per Hydrological Analysis
	Design Return Period		100+CC	Yrs	As per LA requirement
	Elevation of Stream Bed @ Culvert Inlet		362.8	m AOD	From Survey
	Elevation of Stream Bed @ Culvert Outlet		361.4	m AOD	From Survey
	Culvert Length		15	m	From Survey
	Elevation of Stream bed upstream of Culvert		364	m AOD	From Survey
	Distance upstream of Culvert		20	m	From Survey
	Elevation of Stream bed downstream of Culvert		360.1	m AOD	From Survey
	Distance downstream of Culvert		20	m	From Survey
	Elevation of Proposed Embankment Crest		364.8	m AOD	From Survey
	Average channel invert width		0.5	m	From Survey
	Average channel top of bank width		1	m	From Survey
	Average Channel Depth to Bank		0.2	m	From Survey
	Left Over-Bank Ground Level (Floodplain) (Culvert Inlet)		362.7	m AOD	From Survey
	Distance from bank		5	m	From Survey
	Right Over-Bank Ground Level (Floodplain) (Culvert Inlet)		363.1	m AOD	From Survey
	Distance from bank		5	m	From Survey
	Mannings n - Channel		0.1		From C689 Table A1.1
	Mannings n - Overbanks		0.06		From C689 Table A1.1
	Bedslope upstream of Culvert 1 in S1	S1	16.67	-	Calculated
	Bedslope downstream of Culvert 1 in S2	S2	15.38	-	Calculated
	Bedslope across Culvert 1 in S3	S3	10.71	-	Calculated
	Bedslope across whole reach considered 1 in S4	S4	14.10	-	Calculated
	Channel Side Slopes 1 in X	X =	1.25	-	Calculated
	Upstream Left Over- Bank Slope	Y =	-16.67	-	Calculated
	Upstream Right Over- Bank Slope	Z =	50.00	-	Calculated

#### ## <u>Calculate Tailwater Depth and Level:</u>

Mannings Equation:

 $Q = VA = \left(\frac{1.00}{n}\right) AR^{\frac{3}{2}} \sqrt{s} \quad [SI] \qquad R_h = \frac{A}{P}$ 

Channel Capacity <	Discharge,	Out of B	ank Flooding C	onsidered
Depth of water in channel is	Ydc	0.21	m	Calculated
Therefore water level at downstream extent of culvert i:	WLt	361.61	mAOD	Calculated
	$V_{dc}$	0.71	m/s	Calculated





$H_t = Z_{bo} + y_{dc} + \frac{V_{dc}^2}{2g}$	Where:	Z <sub>bo</sub> Y <sub>dc</sub> V <sub>dc</sub>	Z <sub>bo</sub> Elevation @ Culvert Outlet y <sub>dc</sub> Water depth in downstream char V <sub>dc</sub> Velocity in downstream channel	
Tailwater Elevation:		Ht	361.63 mAOD	Calculated
## <u>Calculate Froude No.</u>				
Cross Sectiona	l Area (A)		0.16 m2	
Тор	Width (B)		1.20 m	
Hydraulic mean depth (A p	oer unit B)	d <sub>m</sub>	0.13 m	
Froude	e Number	Fr	0.63 Subcritical	
Critical depth i	n channel	h <sub>c</sub>	0.13 m	

Critical Velocity v<sub>c</sub>

## Initial Design

Initial Estimate of required culvert cross sectional area required. Analysis is based on new culvert, therefore design should allow for freeflow conditions. Applicable method is Flow Area Method

1.13 m/s

##	Flow Area Method - refer to C689 Section 6.7.1			
	Depth; Min. Tailwater depth	D	0.21 m	Calculated
	Assume 20% Initial loss of culvert height due to Freeboa	rd / S	0.26 m	Calculated
	where freeboard depth is:	F	0.03 m	Calculated
	Area required as per tailwater fllow calculation:	At	0.16 m2	Calculated
	Nominal width (Area / Depth (not inc. freeboard):		0.76 m	Calculated
	Therefore prelim culvert dimensions (incl freeboard + si	Di	0.26 m	Calculated
		<b>B</b> <sub>i</sub>	0.76 m	Calculated
##	<u>Detailed Design</u>			
##	Try Culvert dimensions			
	Based on previous Initial Design			
	Height / Diameter	D	0.60 m	
	Breadth (BLANK IF CIRCULAR)	В	m	
	Number of Culverts	nr	1 n/a	
	Shape		CIRCULAR	
	Freeboard		0.15 m	As per CIRIA Guidance
	Siltation / Depth lowered below ex. stream invert		0.05 m	Manually Entered Value
	Therefore:			
	Upstream Pipe Invert		362.75 mAOD	
	Upstream Pipe Base (w/Silt)Elevation	Zi	362.80 mAOD	
	Upstream Soffit Elevation		363.35 mAOD	
	Downstream Pipe Invert Elevation		361.35 mAOD	
	Downstream Pipe Base (w/ Silt) Elevation	Zo	361.40 mAOD	
	Downstream Soffit Elevation		361.95 mAOD	



$a = \frac{1.811Q}{2}$	Where		
$q_i = \frac{1}{A D^{0.5}}$	Discharge	Q	0.11 m3/s
Ь	Depth / Diameter of barrell	D	0.55 m
Culvert cross section ar	ea excl. freeboard + siltation	Ab	0.22 m2
	Discharge Coefficient	qi	1.24 n/a
Discha	rge intensity classification is:		Free Flow Inlet Control

## ## Calculation of headwater depth for free flow inlet control

Based on Table A1.3, Culvert type isNr2n/ai.e,Circular concrete pipe; Headwall, socket end of pipe

 $\frac{E_{ab}}{D} = \frac{E_{ac}}{D} + k \left[ \frac{1.811Q}{A_b D^{0.5}} \right]^M - 0.5S_0$  Eqn 6.23  $\frac{E_{ab}}{D} = k \left[ \frac{1.811Q}{A_b D^{0.5}} \right]^M$  Eqn 6.25

Therefore applicable CIRIA C689 equation reference:

Equation 6.23

Whore	Discharge	0	$0.11 m^{2}/c$	
where	Discharge	Q	0.11 115/5	
	Depth / Diameter of barrell	D	0.55 m	
	Unsubmerged analysis constant	k	0.0078	Table A1.3
	Unsubmerged analysis constant	М	2	Table A1.3
Culvert cros	ss section area excl. freeboard + siltation	Ab	0.22 m2	
o?m	Culvert Slope	So	0.09 m/m	1 in 10.71
$\frac{Q^2W}{\sigma A^3} = 1$	Critical depth calculated as:	Уc	0.182 m	
5 3	Specific Energy at Critical Depth	Esc	0.27 m	
$E_{sc} = \frac{1}{2} v_c$	Therefore Specific Energy of Headwater	$E_{sh}$	0.25 m	

#### ## Calculation of headwater elevation for inlet control

Headwater Elevation  $H_{hic}\,determined$  by:

$H_{L_{L_{r}}} = Z_{r} + E$	$E_{h} + h$ Where	5		
nic i	Headloss due to inlet screer	n h₅	N/A	(No Screen Proposed)
	Stream Elevation at Inle	$t Z_i$	362.80	mAOD
	Specific Energy of Headwate	r E <sub>sh</sub>	0.25	m
	Therefore Headwater Elevation:	$H_{hic}$	363.05	mAOD

Water Level at the headwater for inlet control  $\mathsf{WL}_{\mathsf{hic}}$  determined by:

$WL_{\perp} = H_{\perp} - \frac{V_{\perp}}{4}$	• Where		
hic hic 2	B Headwater Elevation:	$H_{hic}$	363.05 mAOD
	Velocity in Upstream Channel	$V_{uc}$	0.71 m/s
The	erefore Water Level at Inlet:	$WL_{hic}$	363.03 mAOD





#### ## <u>Summary</u>

	Complies	Fails	Comment
Culvert Inlet Soffit Elevation > Headwater Elevation for Inlet C	Х		
Adequate Freeboard provided to water level?	Х		
			-

Therefore proposed culvert dimensions:

1 nr Diameter

0.60 m

Ву	Checked	Revision	Date
KS	KS	Original	20/10/2023



To determine the adequacy of hydraulic capacity for culverts in accordance with the requirements of CIRIA C689

##	<u>Input Data:</u>				
	Culvert Ref:		WC02		
	Coordinates (E/N)		250206	396288	
	Function / Description		Track crossing		
	Design Discharge Q		0.56	m3/sec	As per Hydrological Analysis
	Design Return Period		100+CC	Yrs	As per LA requirement
	Elevation of Stream Bed @ Culvert Inlet		235.8	m AOD	From Survey
	Elevation of Stream Bed @ Culvert Outlet		234.8	m AOD	From Survey
	Culvert Length		15	m	From Survey
	Elevation of Stream bed upstream of Culvert		237.16	m AOD	From Survey
	Distance upstream of Culvert		20	m	From Survey
	Elevation of Stream bed downstream of Culvert		233.9	m AOD	From Survey
	Distance downstream of Culvert		20	m	From Survey
	Elevation of Proposed Embankment Crest		237.8	m AOD	From Survey
	Average channel invert width		1	m	From Survey
	Average channel top of bank width		1	m	From Survey
	Average Channel Depth to Bank		0.2	m	From Survey
	Left Over-Bank Ground Level (Floodplain) (Culvert Inlet)		236.2	m AOD	From Survey
	Distance from bank		5	m	From Survey
	Right Over-Bank Ground Level (Floodplain) (Culvert Inlet)		236.1	m AOD	From Survey
	Distance from bank		5	m	From Survey
	Mannings n - Channel		0.1		From C689 Table A1.1
	Mannings n - Overbanks		0.06		From C689 Table A1.1
	Bedslope upstream of Culvert 1 in S1	S1	14.71	-	Calculated
	Bedslope downstream of Culvert 1 in S2	S2	22.22	-	Calculated
	Bedslope across Culvert 1 in S3	S3	15.00	-	Calculated
	Bedslope across whole reach considered 1 in S4	S4	16.87	-	Calculated
	Channel Side Slopes 1 in X	X =	0.00	-	Calculated
	Upstream Left Over- Bank Slope	Y =	25.00	-	Calculated
	Upstream Right Over- Bank Slope	Z =	50.00	-	Calculated

#### ## <u>Calculate Tailwater Depth and Level:</u>

Mannings Equation:

 $Q = VA = \left(\frac{1.00}{n}\right) AR^{\frac{3}{2}} \sqrt{s} \quad [SI] \qquad R_h = \frac{A}{P}$ 

Channel Capacity <	Channel Capacity < Discharge, Out of Bank Flooding Considered					
Depth of water in channel is	Ydc	0.47 m	Calculated			
Therefore water level at downstream extent of culvert i	WLt	235.27 mAOD	Calculated			
	V <sub>dc</sub>	1.18 m/s	Calculated			





$H_t = Z_{bo} + y_{dc} + \frac{V_{dc}^2}{2g}$	Where:	Z <sub>bo</sub> Y <sub>dc</sub> V <sub>dc</sub>	Elevation @ Cu Water depth in Velocity in dow	lvert Outlet downstream cł /nstream chann	nannel el
Tailwater Elevation:		${\sf H}_{\sf t}$	235.35	mAOD	Calculated
## <u>Calculate Froude No.</u>					
Cross Sectiona	al Area (A)		0.48	m2	
Тор	Width (B)		21.52	m	
Hydraulic mean depth (A p	oer unit B)	$d_{m}$	0.02	m	
Froud	e Number	Fr	2.53	Supercritical	

Critical depth in channel  $h_c$ 

Critical Velocity v<sub>c</sub>

## Initial Design

Initial Estimate of required culvert cross sectional area required. Analysis is based on new culvert, therefore design should allow for freeflow conditions. Applicable method is Flow Area Method

0.28 m

0.47 m/s

##	Flow Area Method - refer to C689 Section 6.7.1			
	Depth; Min. Tailwater depth	D	0.47 m	Calculated
	Assume 20% Initial loss of culvert height due to Freeboa	rd / S	0.59 m	Calculated
	where freeboard depth is:	F	0.06 m	Calculated
	Area required as per tailwater fllow calculation:	At	0.48 m2	Calculated
	Nominal width (Area / Depth (not inc. freeboard):		1.00 m	Calculated
	Therefore prelim culvert dimensions (incl freeboard + si	Di	0.59 m	Calculated
		<b>B</b> <sub>i</sub>	1.00 m	Calculated
##	<u>Detailed Design</u>			
##	Try Culvert dimensions			
	Based on previous Initial Design			
	Height / Diameter	D	0.90 m	
	Breadth (BLANK IF CIRCULAR)	В	m	
	Number of Culverts	nr	1 n/a	
	Shape		CIRCULAR	
	Freeboard		0.23 m	As per CIRIA Guidance
	Siltation / Depth lowered below ex. stream invert		0.05 m	Manually Entered Value
	Therefore:			
	Upstream Pipe Invert		235.75 mAOD	
	Upstream Pipe Base (w/Silt)Elevation	Zi	235.80 mAOD	
	Upstream Soffit Elevation		236.65 mAOD	
	Downstream Pipe Invert Elevation		234.75 mAOD	
	Downstream Pipe Base (w/ Silt) Elevation	Zo	234.80 mAOD	
	Downstream Soffit Elevation		235.65 mAOD	



$a = \frac{1.811Q}{2}$	Where		
$q_i = \frac{1}{A D^{0.5}}$	Discharge	Q	0.56 m3/s
b	Depth / Diameter of barrell	D	0.85 m
Culvert cross section a	rea excl. freeboard + siltation	Ab	0.50 m2
	Discharge Coefficient	qi	2.21 n/a
Discha	arge intensity classification is:		Free Flow Inlet Control

## ## Calculation of headwater depth for free flow inlet control

Based on Table A1.3, Culvert type isNr2n/ai.e,Circular concrete pipe; Headwall, socket end of pipe

 $\frac{E_{sh}}{D} = \frac{E_{sc}}{D} + k \left[ \frac{1.811Q}{A_b D^{0.5}} \right]^M - 0.5S_0$  Eqn 6.23  $\frac{E_{sh}}{D} = k \left[ \frac{1.811Q}{A_b D^{0.5}} \right]^M$  Eqn 6.25

Therefore applicable CIRIA C689 equation reference:

Equation 6.23

Where	Discharge	Q	0.56 m3/s	
	Depth / Diameter of barrell	D	0.85 m	
	Unsubmerged analysis constant	k	0.0078	Table A1.3
	Unsubmerged analysis constant	М	2	Table A1.3
Culvert cros	ss section area excl. freeboard + siltation	Ab	0.50 m2	
~?···	Culvert Slope	So	0.07 m/m	1 in 15
$\frac{Q^2W}{\alpha A^3} = 1$	Critical depth calculated as:	Уc	0.403 m	
. 3	Specific Energy at Critical Depth	Esc	0.60 m	
$E_{sc} = -y_c$	Therefore Specific Energy of Headwater	E <sub>sh</sub>	0.61 m	

#### ## Calculation of headwater elevation for inlet control

Headwater Elevation H<sub>hic</sub> determined by:

$H_{ij} = Z_i + E_{ij}$	+ h Where			
hic i sh	Headloss due to inlet screen	hs	N/A	(No Screen Proposed)
	Stream Elevation at Inlet	Zi	235.80	mAOD
	Specific Energy of Headwater	$E_{sh}$	0.61	m
Tł	nerefore Headwater Elevation:	$H_{hic}$	236.41	mAOD

Water Level at the headwater for inlet control  $WL_{hic}$  determined by:

$V^2$			
$WL_{} = H_{} - \frac{uc}{uc}$	Where		
hic hic 2g	Headwater Elevation:	$H_{hic}$	236.41 mAOD
	Velocity in Upstream Channel	$V_{uc}$	1.18 m/s
There	fore Water Level at Inlet:	$WL_{hic}$	236.34 mAOD





#### ## <u>Summary</u>

	Complies	Fails	Comment
Culvert Inlet Soffit Elevation > Headwater Elevation for Inlet C	Х		
Adequate Freeboard provided to water level?	Х		
			-

Therefore proposed culvert dimensions:

1 nr Diameter

0.90 m

Ву	Checked	Revision	Date
KS	KS	Original	18/10/2023



To determine the adequacy of hydraulic capacity for culverts in accordance with the requirements of CIRIA C689

##	<u>Input Data:</u>				
	Culvert Ref:		WC03D		
	Coordinates (E/N)		250149	396193	
	Function / Description		Track crossing	(on realigned of	hannel)
	Design Discharge Q		0.56	m3/sec	As per Hydrological Analysis
	Design Return Period		100+CC	Yrs	As per LA requirement
	Elevation of Stream Bed @ Culvert Inlet		242.9	m AOD	From Survey
	Elevation of Stream Bed @ Culvert Outlet		241.9	m AOD	From Survey
	Culvert Length		15	m	From Survey
	Elevation of Stream bed upstream of Culvert		243.5	m AOD	From Survey
	Distance upstream of Culvert		20	m	From Survey
	Elevation of Stream bed downstream of Culvert		240.4	m AOD	From Survey
	Distance downstream of Culvert		20	m	From Survey
	Elevation of Proposed Embankment Crest		244.9	m AOD	From Survey
	Average channel invert width		0.6	m	From Survey
	Average channel top of bank width		0.8	m	From Survey
	Average Channel Depth to Bank		0.5	m	From Survey
	Left Over-Bank Ground Level (Floodplain) (Culvert Inlet)		244	m AOD	From Survey
	Distance from bank		10	m	From Survey
	Right Over-Bank Ground Level (Floodplain) (Culvert Inlet)		244	m AOD	From Survey
	Distance from bank		10	m	From Survey
	Mannings n - Channel		0.1		From C689 Table A1.1
	Mannings n - Overbanks		0.06		From C689 Table A1.1
	Bedslope upstream of Culvert 1 in S1	S1	33.33	-	Calculated
	Bedslope downstream of Culvert 1 in S2	S2	13.33	-	Calculated
	Bedslope across Culvert 1 in S3	S3	15.00	-	Calculated
	Bedslope across whole reach considered 1 in S4	S4	17.74	-	Calculated
	Channel Side Slopes 1 in X	X =	0.20	-	Calculated
	Upstream Left Over- Bank Slope	Y =	16.67	-	Calculated
	Upstream Right Over- Bank Slope	Z =	16.67	-	Calculated

#### ## <u>Calculate Tailwater Depth and Level:</u>

Mannings Equation:

 $Q = VA = \left(\frac{1.00}{n}\right) AR^{\frac{3}{2}} \sqrt{s} \quad [SI] \qquad R_h = \frac{A}{P}$ 

Channel Capacity <	Discharg	e, Out of Bank Floodin	g Considered
Depth of water in channel is	Ydc	0.70 m	Calculated
Therefore water level at downstream extent of culvert i	WLt	242.60 mAOD	Calculated
	V <sub>dc</sub>	1.09 m/s	Calculated





$H_t = Z_{bo} + y_{dc} + \frac{V_{dc}^2}{2g}$	Where:	Z <sub>bo</sub> Y <sub>dc</sub> V <sub>dc</sub>	Elevation @ Cu Water depth in Velocity in dow	lvert Outlet downstream cl /nstream chann	nannel el
Tailwater Elevation:		${\sf H}_{\sf t}$	242.67	mAOD	Calculated
## <u>Calculate Froude No.</u>					
Cross Sectiona	al Area (A)		0.51	m2	
Тор	Width (B)		7.47	m	
Hydraulic mean depth (A p	oer unit B)	$d_{m}$	0.07	m	
Froud	e Number	Fr	1.33	Supercritical	

Critical depth in channel  $h_c$ 

Critical Velocity v<sub>c</sub>

## Initial Design

Initial Estimate of required culvert cross sectional area required. Analysis is based on new culvert, therefore design should allow for freeflow conditions. Applicable method is Flow Area Method

0.38 m

0.82 m/s

##	Flow Area Method - refer to C689 Section 6.7.1			
	Depth; Min. Tailwater depth	D	0.70 m	Calculated
	Assume 20% Initial loss of culvert height due to Freeboa	rd / S	0.88 m	Calculated
	where freeboard depth is:	F	0.09 m	Calculated
	Area required as per tailwater fllow calculation:	At	0.51 m2	Calculated
	Nominal width (Area / Depth (not inc. freeboard):		0.73 m	Calculated
	Therefore prelim culvert dimensions (incl freeboard + si	Di	0.88 m	Calculated
		<b>B</b> <sub>i</sub>	0.73 m	Calculated
##	<u>Detailed Design</u>			
##	Try Culvert dimensions			
	Based on previous Initial Design			
	Height / Diameter	D	0.90 m	
	Breadth (BLANK IF CIRCULAR)	В	m	
	Number of Culverts	nr	1 n/a	
	Shape		CIRCULAR	
	Freeboard		0.23 m	As per CIRIA Guidance
	Siltation / Depth lowered below ex. stream invert		0.05 m	Manually Entered Value
	Therefore:			
	Upstream Pipe Invert		242.85 mAOD	
	Upstream Pipe Base (w/Silt)Elevation	Zi	242.90 mAOD	
	Upstream Soffit Elevation		243.75 mAOD	
	Downstream Pipe Invert Elevation		241.85 mAOD	
	Downstream Pipe Base (w/ Silt) Elevation	Zo	241.90 mAOD	
	Downstream Soffit Elevation		242.75 mAOD	



$a = \frac{1.811Q}{1.811Q}$	Where		
$q_i = A D^{0.5}$	Discharge	Q	0.56 m3/s
Ь	Depth / Diameter of barrell	D	0.85 m
Culvert cross section area excl. freeboard + siltation		Ab	0.50 m2
	Discharge Coefficient	qi	2.21 n/a
Disch	arge intensity classification is:		Free Flow Inlet Control

## ## Calculation of headwater depth for free flow inlet control

Based on Table A1.3, Culvert type isNr2n/ai.e,Circular concrete pipe; Headwall, socket end of pipe

 $\frac{E_{sh}}{D} = \frac{E_{sc}}{D} + k \left[ \frac{1.811Q}{A_b D^{0.5}} \right]^M - 0.5S_0$  Eqn 6.23  $\frac{E_{sh}}{D} = k \left[ \frac{1.811Q}{A_b D^{0.5}} \right]^M$  Eqn 6.25

Therefore applicable CIRIA C689 equation reference:

Equation 6.23

Where	Discharge	Q	0.56 m3/s	
	Depth / Diameter of barrell	D	0.85 m	
	Unsubmerged analysis constant	k	0.0078	Table A1.3
	Unsubmerged analysis constant	М	2	Table A1.3
Culvert cros	ss section area excl. freeboard + siltation	Ab	0.50 m2	
~?···	Culvert Slope	So	0.07 m/m	1 in 15
$\frac{Q^2W}{\alpha A^3} = 1$	Critical depth calculated as:	Уc	0.403 m	
. 3	Specific Energy at Critical Depth	Esc	0.60 m	
$E_{3c} = -y_c$	Therefore Specific Energy of Headwater	E <sub>sh</sub>	0.61 m	

#### ## Calculation of headwater elevation for inlet control

Headwater Elevation  $H_{hic}\,determined$  by:

$H_{1,1} = Z_1 + E_{-1} + E_{-1}$	h Where			
nic i sn	Headloss due to inlet screen	hs	N/A	(No Screen Proposed)
	Stream Elevation at Inlet	Zi	242.90	mAOD
	Specific Energy of Headwater	$E_{sh}$	0.61	m
Ther	efore Headwater Elevation:	H <sub>hic</sub>	243.51	mAOD

Water Level at the headwater for inlet control  $\mathsf{WL}_{\mathsf{hic}}$  determined by:

$WL_{-} = H_{-} - \frac{V}{-}$	Where		
hic hic 2	B Headwater Elevation:	$H_{hic}$	243.51 mAOD
	Velocity in Upstream Channel	$V_{uc}$	1.09 m/s
Th	erefore Water Level at Inlet:	$WL_{hic}$	243.45 mAOD





#### ## <u>Summary</u>

	Complies	Fails	Comment
Culvert Inlet Soffit Elevation > Headwater Elevation for Inlet C	Х		
Adequate Freeboard provided to water level?	Х		
		-	

Therefore proposed culvert dimensions:

1 nr Diameter

0.90 m

Ву	Checked	Revision	Date
KS	KS	Original	19/10/2023



To determine the adequacy of hydraulic capacity for culverts in accordance with the requirements of CIRIA C689

##	<u>Input Data:</u>				
	Culvert Ref:		WC04		
	Coordinates (E/N)		250510	395725	
	Function / Description		Track crossing		
	Design Discharge Q		0.24	m3/sec	As per Hydrological Analysis
	Design Return Period		100+CC	Yrs	As per LA requirement
	Elevation of Stream Bed @ Culvert Inlet		294.9	m AOD	From Survey
	Elevation of Stream Bed @ Culvert Outlet		294.1	m AOD	From Survey
	Culvert Length		10	m	From Survey
	Elevation of Stream bed upstream of Culvert		296.7	m AOD	From Survey
	Distance upstream of Culvert		40	m	From Survey
	Elevation of Stream bed downstream of Culvert		290.9	m AOD	From Survey
	Distance downstream of Culvert		40	m	From Survey
	Elevation of Proposed Embankment Crest		297.9	m AOD	From Survey
	Average channel invert width		0.5	m	From Survey
	Average channel top of bank width		0.5	m	From Survey
	Average Channel Depth to Bank		0.2	m	From Survey
	Left Over-Bank Ground Level (Floodplain) (Culvert Inlet)		296	m AOD	From Survey
	Distance from bank		5	m	From Survey
	Right Over-Bank Ground Level (Floodplain) (Culvert Inlet)		296	m AOD	From Survey
	Distance from bank		5	m	From Survey
	Mannings n - Channel		0.1		From C689 Table A1.1
	Mannings n - Overbanks		0.06		From C689 Table A1.1
	Bedslope upstream of Culvert 1 in S1	S1	22.22	-	Calculated
	Bedslope downstream of Culvert 1 in S2	S2	12.50	-	Calculated
	Bedslope across Culvert 1 in S3	S3	12.50	-	Calculated
	Bedslope across whole reach considered 1 in S4	S4	15.52	-	Calculated
	Channel Side Slopes 1 in X	X =	0.00	-	Calculated
	Upstream Left Over- Bank Slope	Y =	5.56	-	Calculated
	Upstream Right Over- Bank Slope	Z =	5.56	-	Calculated

#### ## <u>Calculate Tailwater Depth and Level:</u>

Mannings Equation:

 $Q = VA = \left(\frac{1.00}{n}\right) AR^{\frac{3}{2}} \sqrt{s} \quad [SI] \qquad R_h = \frac{A}{P}$ 

Channel Capacity <	Channel Capacity < Discharge, Out of Bank Flooding Considered					
Depth of water in channel is	Ydc	0.46 m	Calculated			
Therefore water level at downstream extent of culvert i	WLt	294.56 mAOD	Calculated			
	$V_{dc}$	0.99 m/s	Calculated			




1	$I_t = Z_{bo} + y_{dc} + \frac{V_{dc}^2}{2g}$	Where:	Z <sub>bo</sub> Y <sub>dc</sub> V <sub>dc</sub>	Elevation @ Culvert Outlet Water depth in downstream channel Velocity in downstream channel		hannel Iel
Tanwa	ter Elevation:		${\sf H}_{\sf t}$	294.61	mAOD	Calculated
## <u>Calcul</u>	ate Froude No.					
	Cross Sectiona	l Area (A)		0.24	m2	
	Тор	Width (B)		3.40	m	
	Hydraulic mean depth (A p	oer unit B)	$d_m$	0.07	m	
	Froude	e Number	Fr	1.18	Supercritical	

Critical depth in channel  $h_c$ 

Critical Velocity v<sub>c</sub>

## Initial Design

Initial Estimate of required culvert cross sectional area required. Analysis is based on new culvert, therefore design should allow for freeflow conditions. Applicable method is Flow Area Method

0.28 m

0.84 m/s

##	Flow Area Method - refer to C689 Section 6.7.1			
	Depth; Min. Tailwater depth	D	0.46 m	Calculated
	Assume 20% Initial loss of culvert height due to Freeboa	rd / S	0.58 m	Calculated
	where freeboard depth is:	F	0.06 m	Calculated
	Area required as per tailwater fllow calculation:	At	0.24 m2	Calculated
	Nominal width (Area / Depth (not inc. freeboard):		0.53 m	Calculated
	Therefore prelim culvert dimensions (incl freeboard + si	Di	0.58 m	Calculated
		<b>B</b> <sub>i</sub>	0.53 m	Calculated
##	<u>Detailed Design</u>			
##	Try Culvert dimensions			
	Based on previous Initial Design			
	Height / Diameter	D	0.60 m	
	Breadth (BLANK IF CIRCULAR)	В	m	
	Number of Culverts	nr	1 n/a	
	Shape		CIRCULAR	
	Freeboard		0.15 m	As per CIRIA Guidance
	Siltation / Depth lowered below ex. stream invert		0.05 m	Manually Entered Value
	Therefore:			
	Upstream Pipe Invert		294.85 mAOD	
	Upstream Pipe Base (w/Silt)Elevation	Zi	294.90 mAOD	
	Upstream Soffit Elevation		295.45 mAOD	
	Downstream Pipe Invert Elevation		294.05 mAOD	
	Downstream Pipe Base (w/ Silt) Elevation	Zo	294.10 mAOD	
	Downstream Soffit Elevation		294.65 mAOD	



$a = \frac{1.811Q}{2}$	Where		
$q_i = \frac{1}{A D^{0.5}}$	Discharge	Q	0.24 m3/s
D	epth / Diameter of barrell	D	0.55 m
Culvert cross section area	excl. freeboard + siltation	Ab	0.22 m2
	Discharge Coefficient	qi	2.71 n/a
Discharge	intensity classification is:		Free Flow Inlet Control

# ## Calculation of headwater depth for free flow inlet control

Based on Table A1.3, Culvert type isNr2n/ai.e,Circular concrete pipe; Headwall, socket end of pipe

 $\frac{E_{sh}}{D} = \frac{E_{sc}}{D} + k \left[ \frac{1.811Q}{A_b D^{0.5}} \right]^M - 0.5S_0$  Eqn 6.23  $\frac{E_{sh}}{D} = k \left[ \frac{1.811Q}{A_b D^{0.5}} \right]^M$  Eqn 6.25

Therefore applicable CIRIA C689 equation reference:

Equation 6.23

Where	Discharge	Q	0.24 m3/s	
	Depth / Diameter of barrell	D	0.55 m	
	Unsubmerged analysis constant	k	0.0078	Table A1.3
	Unsubmerged analysis constant	М	2	Table A1.3
Culvert cro	ss section area excl. freeboard + siltation	Ab	0.22 m2	
~?····	Culvert Slope	So	0.08 m/m	1 in 12.5
$\frac{Q^2W}{\alpha A^3} = 1$	Critical depth calculated as:	Уc	0.286 m	
. 3	Specific Energy at Critical Depth	Esc	0.43 m	
$E_{sc} = \frac{-y_c}{2}$	Therefore Specific Energy of Headwater	E <sub>sh</sub>	0.44 m	

#### ## Calculation of headwater elevation for inlet control

Headwater Elevation  $H_{hic}\,determined$  by:

$H_{1,1} = Z_1 + E_{-1} + E_{-1}$	h Where			
nic i sn	Headloss due to inlet screen	hs	N/A	(No Screen Proposed)
	Stream Elevation at Inlet	Zi	294.90	mAOD
	Specific Energy of Headwater	$E_{sh}$	0.44	m
Ther	efore Headwater Elevation:	H <sub>hic</sub>	295.34	mAOD

Water Level at the headwater for inlet control  $\mathsf{WL}_{\mathsf{hic}}$  determined by:

$WL_{\perp} = H_{\perp} - \frac{V}{2}$	Where		
hic hic 2	B Headwater Elevation:	$H_{hic}$	295.34 mAOD
	Velocity in Upstream Channel	$V_{uc}$	0.99 m/s
Th	erefore Water Level at Inlet:	$WL_{hic}$	295.29 mAOD





## ## <u>Summary</u>

	Complies	Fails	Comment
Culvert Inlet Soffit Elevation > Headwater Elevation for Inlet C	Х		
Adequate Freeboard provided to water level?	Х		
		-	

Therefore proposed culvert dimensions:

1 nr Diameter

0.60 m

Ву	Checked	Revision	Date
KS	KS	Original	19/10/2023



To determine the adequacy of hydraulic capacity for culverts in accordance with the requirements of CIRIA C689

##	<u>Input Data:</u>				
	Culvert Ref:		WC05D		
	Coordinates (E/N)		250149	396193	
	Function / Description		Track crossing		
	Design Discharge Q		0.16	m3/sec	As per Hydrological Analysis
	Design Return Period		100+CC	Yrs	As per LA requirement
	Elevation of Stream Bed @ Culvert Inlet		302.6	m AOD	From Survey
	Elevation of Stream Bed @ Culvert Outlet		301.9	m AOD	From Survey
	Culvert Length		15	m	From Survey
	Elevation of Stream bed upstream of Culvert		305	m AOD	From Survey
	Distance upstream of Culvert		20	m	From Survey
	Elevation of Stream bed downstream of Culvert		301.1	m AOD	From Survey
	Distance downstream of Culvert		20	m	From Survey
	Elevation of Proposed Embankment Crest		304.6	m AOD	From Survey
	Average channel invert width		0.5	m	From Survey
	Average channel top of bank width		0.5	m	From Survey
	Average Channel Depth to Bank		0.2	m	From Survey
	Left Over-Bank Ground Level (Floodplain) (Culvert Inlet)		302.9	m AOD	From Survey
	Distance from bank		5	m	From Survey
	Right Over-Bank Ground Level (Floodplain) (Culvert Inlet)		302.7	m AOD	From Survey
	Distance from bank		5	m	From Survey
	Mannings n - Channel		0.1		From C689 Table A1.1
	Mannings n - Overbanks		0.06		From C689 Table A1.1
	Bedslope upstream of Culvert 1 in S1	S1	8.33	-	Calculated
	Bedslope downstream of Culvert 1 in S2	S2	25.00	-	Calculated
	Bedslope across Culvert 1 in S3	S3	21.43	-	Calculated
	Bedslope across whole reach considered 1 in S4	S4	14.10	-	Calculated
	Channel Side Slopes 1 in X	X =	0.00	-	Calculated
	Upstream Left Over- Bank Slope	Y =	50.00	-	Calculated
	Upstream Right Over- Bank Slope	Z =	-50.00	-	Calculated

#### ## <u>Calculate Tailwater Depth and Level:</u>

Mannings Equation:

 $Q = VA = \left(\frac{1.00}{n}\right) AR^{\frac{3}{2}} \sqrt{s} \quad [SI] \qquad R_h = \frac{A}{P}$ 

Channel Capacity <	Discha	rge, Out of Bank Flooding	J Considered
Depth of water in channel is	Ydc	0.36 m	Calculated
Therefore water level at downstream extent of culvert i	WLt	302.26 mAOD	Calculated
	$V_{dc}$	0.90 m/s	Calculated





$H_t = Z_{bo} + y_{dc} + \frac{V_{dc}^2}{2g}$	Where:	Z <sub>bo</sub> Y <sub>dc</sub> V <sub>dc</sub>	Elevation @ Culvert Outlet Water depth in downstream c Velocity in downstream chann	hannel nel
Tailwater Elevation:		${\sf H}_{\sf t}$	302.30 mAOD	Calculated
## <u>Calculate Froude No.</u>				
Cross Sectiona	ıl Area (A)		0.18 m2	
Тор	Width (B)		0.50 m	
Hydraulic mean depth (A p	oer unit B)	d <sub>m</sub>	0.36 m	
Froud	e Number	Fr	0.48 Subcritical	
Critical depth i	n channel	h <sub>c</sub>	0.21 m	

Critical Velocity v<sub>c</sub>

#### ## Initial Design

Initial Estimate of required culvert cross sectional area required. Analysis is based on new culvert, therefore design should allow for freeflow conditions. Applicable method is Flow Area Method

1.87 m/s

##	Flow Area Method - refer to C689 Section 6.7.1			
	Depth; Min. Tailwater depth	D	0.36 m	Calculated
	Assume 20% Initial loss of culvert height due to Freeboa	rd / S	0.44 m	Calculated
	where freeboard depth is:	F	0.04 m	Calculated
	Area required as per tailwater fllow calculation:	At	0.18 m2	Calculated
	Nominal width (Area / Depth (not inc. freeboard):		0.50 m	Calculated
	Therefore prelim culvert dimensions (incl freeboard + si	Di	0.44 m	Calculated
		<b>B</b> <sub>i</sub>	0.50 m	Calculated
##	<u>Detailed Design</u>			
##	Try Culvert dimensions			
	Based on previous Initial Design			
	Height / Diameter	D	0.60 m	
	Breadth (BLANK IF CIRCULAR)	В	m	
	Number of Culverts	nr	1 n/a	
	Shape		CIRCULAR	
	Freeboard		0.15 m	As per CIRIA Guidance
	Siltation / Depth lowered below ex. stream invert		0.05 m	Manually Entered Value
	Therefore:			
	Upstream Pipe Invert		302.55 mAOD	
	Upstream Pipe Base (w/Silt)Elevation	Zi	302.60 mAOD	
	Upstream Soffit Elevation		303.15 mAOD	
	Downstream Pipe Invert Elevation		301.85 mAOD	
	Downstream Pipe Base (w/ Silt) Elevation	Zo	301.90 mAOD	
	Downstream Soffit Elevation		302.45 mAOD	



$a = \frac{1.811Q}{2}$	Where		
$q_i = A D^{0.5}$	Discharge	Q	0.16 m3/s
Ъ	Depth / Diameter of barrell	D	0.55 m
Culvert cross sectio	n area excl. freeboard + siltation	Ab	0.22 m2
	Discharge Coefficient	qi	1.81 n/a
Dis	charge intensity classification is:		Free Flow Inlet Control

# ## Calculation of headwater depth for free flow inlet control

Based on Table A1.3, Culvert type isNr2n/ai.e,Circular concrete pipe; Headwall, socket end of pipe

 $\frac{E_{sh}}{D} = \frac{E_{sc}}{D} + k \left[ \frac{1.811Q}{A_{h} D^{0.5}} \right]^{M} - 0.5S_{0}$  Eqn 6.23  $\frac{E_{sh}}{D} = k \left[ \frac{1.811Q}{A_{h} D^{0.5}} \right]^{M}$  Eqn 6.25

Therefore applicable CIRIA C689 equation reference:

Equation 6.23

Where	Discharge	Q	0.16 m3/s	
	Depth / Diameter of barrell	D	0.55 m	
	Unsubmerged analysis constant	k	0.0078	Table A1.3
	Unsubmerged analysis constant	М	2	Table A1.3
Culvert cro	oss section area excl. freeboard + siltation	Ab	0.22 m2	
~?····	Culvert Slope	So	0.05 m/m	1 in 21.43
$\frac{Q^2W}{gA^3} = 1$	Critical depth calculated as:	Уc	0.226 m	
3	Specific Energy at Critical Depth	Esc	0.34 m	
$E_{sc} = \frac{1}{2} y_c$	Therefore Specific Energy of Headwater	E <sub>sh</sub>	0.34 m	

#### ## Calculation of headwater elevation for inlet control

Headwater Elevation  $H_{hic}\,determined$  by:

$H_{1,1} = Z_1 + E_1$	+ h Where			
nic i sn	Headloss due to inlet screen	hs	N/A	(No Screen Proposed)
	Stream Elevation at Inlet	Zi	302.60	mAOD
	Specific Energy of Headwater	$E_{sh}$	0.34	m
Tł	erefore Headwater Elevation:	H <sub>hic</sub>	302.94	mAOD

Water Level at the headwater for inlet control WL<sub>hic</sub> determined by:

$WL_{} = H_{}$	NC.	Where		
hic hic	2g	Headwater Elevation:	$H_{hic}$	302.94 mAOD
		Velocity in Upstream Channel	$V_{uc}$	0.90 m/s
	Theref	ore Water Level at Inlet:	$WL_{hic}$	302.90 mAOD





### ## <u>Summary</u>

	Complies	Fails	Comment
Culvert Inlet Soffit Elevation > Headwater Elevation for Inlet C	Х		
Adequate Freeboard provided to water level?	Х		
		-	

Therefore proposed culvert dimensions:

1 nr Diameter

0.60 m

Ву	Checked	Revision	Date
KS	KS	Original	19/10/2023



To determine the adequacy of hydraulic capacity for culverts in accordance with the requirements of CIRIA C689

##	<u>Input Data:</u>				
	Culvert Ref:		WC06		
	Coordinates (E/N)		250570	395658	
	Function / Description		Track crossing		
	Design Discharge Q		1.75	m3/sec	As per Hydrological Analysis
	Design Return Period		100+CC	Yrs	As per LA requirement
	Elevation of Stream Bed @ Culvert Inlet		295.8	m AOD	From Survey
	Elevation of Stream Bed @ Culvert Outlet		294.5	m AOD	From Survey
	Culvert Length		15	m	From Survey
	Elevation of Stream bed upstream of Culvert		299.3	m AOD	From Survey
	Distance upstream of Culvert		40	m	From Survey
	Elevation of Stream bed downstream of Culvert		291.8	m AOD	From Survey
	Distance downstream of Culvert		40	m	From Survey
	Elevation of Proposed Embankment Crest		297.8	m AOD	From Survey
	Average channel invert width		1.6	m	From Survey
	Average channel top of bank width		2	m	From Survey
	Average Channel Depth to Bank		0.4	m	From Survey
	Left Over-Bank Ground Level (Floodplain) (Culvert Inlet)		296.3	m AOD	From Survey
	Distance from bank		5	m	From Survey
	Right Over-Bank Ground Level (Floodplain) (Culvert Inlet)		296.4	m AOD	From Survey
	Distance from bank		5	m	From Survey
	Mannings n - Channel		0.1		From C689 Table A1.1
	Mannings n - Overbanks		0.06		From C689 Table A1.1
	Bedslope upstream of Culvert 1 in S1	S1	11.43	-	Calculated
	Bedslope downstream of Culvert 1 in S2	S2	14.81	-	Calculated
	Bedslope across Culvert 1 in S3	S3	11.54	-	Calculated
	Bedslope across whole reach considered 1 in S4	S4	12.67	-	Calculated
	Channel Side Slopes 1 in X	X =	0.50	-	Calculated
	Upstream Left Over- Bank Slope	Y =	50.00	-	Calculated
	Upstream Right Over- Bank Slope	Z =	25.00	-	Calculated

#### ## <u>Calculate Tailwater Depth and Level:</u>

Mannings Equation:

 $Q = VA = \left(\frac{1.00}{n}\right) AR^{\frac{3}{2}} \sqrt{s} \quad [SI] \qquad R_h = \frac{A}{P}$ 

Channel Capacity <	Discha	rge, Out of Bank Flooding	Considered
Depth of water in channel is	Ydc	0.58 m	Calculated
Therefore water level at downstream extent of culvert i	WLt	295.08 mAOD	Calculated
	V <sub>dc</sub>	1.61 m/s	Calculated





$H_t = Z_{bo} + y_{dc} + \frac{V_{dc}^2}{2g}$	Where:	Z <sub>bo</sub> Y <sub>dc</sub> V <sub>dc</sub>	bo Elevation @ Culvert Outlet dc Water depth in downstream channel dc Velocity in downstream channel		
Tailwater Elevation:		Ht	295.22	mAOD	Calculated
## <u>Calculate Froude No.</u>					
Cross Sectional	l Area (A)		1.09	m2	
Тор	Width (B)		15.69	m	
Hydraulic mean depth (A p	er unit B)	$d_{m}$	0.07	m	
Froude	Number	Fr	1.96	Supercritical	

Critical depth in channel  $h_c$ 

Critical Velocity v<sub>c</sub>

# ## <u>Initial Design</u>

Initial Estimate of required culvert cross sectional area required. Analysis is based on new culvert, therefore design should allow for freeflow conditions. Applicable method is Flow Area Method

0.37 m

0.82 m/s

##	Flow Area Method - refer to C689 Section 6.7.1			
	Depth; Min. Tailwater depth	D	0.58 m	Calculated
	Assume 20% Initial loss of culvert height due to Freeboar	rd / S	0.73 m	Calculated
	where freeboard depth is:	F	0.07 m	Calculated
	Area required as per tailwater fllow calculation:	At	1.09 m2	Calculated
	Nominal width (Area / Depth (not inc. freeboard):		1.86 m	Calculated
	Therefore prelim culvert dimensions (incl freeboard + si	Di	0.73 m	Calculated
		<b>B</b> <sub>i</sub>	1.86 m	Calculated
##	Detailed Design			
##	Try Culvert dimensions			
	Based on previous Initial Design			
	Height / Diameter	D	1.35 m	
	Breadth (BLANK IF CIRCULAR)	В	m	
	Number of Culverts	nr	1 n/a	
	Shape		CIRCULAR	
	Freeboard		0.30 m	As per CIRIA Guidance
	Siltation / Depth lowered below ex. stream invert		0.05 m	Manually Entered Value
	Therefore:			
	Upstream Pipe Invert		295.75 mAOD	
	Upstream Pipe Base (w/Silt)Elevation	Zi	295.80 mAOD	
	Upstream Soffit Elevation		297.10 mAOD	
	Downstream Pipe Invert Elevation		294.45 mAOD	
	Downstream Pipe Base (w/ Silt) Elevation	Zo	294.50 mAOD	
	Downstream Soffit Elevation		295.80 mAOD	



$a = \frac{1.811Q}{1.811Q}$	Where		
4 A D0.5	Discharge	Q	1.75 m3/s
Ь	Depth / Diameter of barrell	D	1.30 m
Culvert cross section are	ea excl. freeboard + siltation	Ab	1.18 m2
	Discharge Coefficient	qi	2.36 n/a
Dischar	ge intensity classification is:		Free Flow Inlet Control

# ## Calculation of headwater depth for free flow inlet control

Based on Table A1.3, Culvert type isNr2n/ai.e,Circular concrete pipe; Headwall, socket end of pipe

 $\frac{E_{ab}}{D} = \frac{E_{ac}}{D} + k \left[ \frac{1.811Q}{A_b D^{0.5}} \right]^M - 0.5S_0$  Eqn 6.23  $\frac{E_{ab}}{D} = k \left[ \frac{1.811Q}{A_b D^{0.5}} \right]^M$  Eqn 6.25

Therefore applicable CIRIA C689 equation reference:

Equation 6.23

Where	Discharge	Q	1.75 m3/s	
	Depth / Diameter of barrell	D	1.3 m	
	Unsubmerged analysis constant	k	0.0078	Table A1.3
	Unsubmerged analysis constant	М	2	Table A1.3
Culvert cro	ss section area excl. freeboard + siltation	Ab	1.18 m2	
o <sup>2</sup> m	Culvert Slope	So	0.09 m/m	1 in 11.54
$\frac{Q^2W}{gA^3} = 1$	Critical depth calculated as:	Уc	0.663 m	
. 3	Specific Energy at Critical Depth	Esc	0.99 m	
$E_{sc} = \frac{1}{2} y_c$	Therefore Specific Energy of Headwater	$E_{sh}$	1.00 m	

#### ## Calculation of headwater elevation for inlet control

Headwater Elevation H<sub>hic</sub> determined by:

$H_{1,1} = Z_1 + E_{-1} + h$	Where			
nic i sn	Headloss due to inlet screen	hs	N/A	(No Screen Proposed)
	Stream Elevation at Inlet	Zi	295.80	mAOD
	Specific Energy of Headwater	$E_{sh}$	1.00	m
Therefo	ore Headwater Elevation:	H <sub>hic</sub>	296.80	mAOD

Water Level at the headwater for inlet control WL<sub>hic</sub> determined by:

	V2			
$WL_{} = H_{}$ -	MC	Where		
nic nic	2g	Headwater Elevation:	$H_{hic}$	296.80 mAOD
		Velocity in Upstream Channel	$V_{uc}$	1.61 m/s
	Theref	ore Water Level at Inlet:	$WL_{hic}$	296.66 mAOD





## ## <u>Summary</u>

	Complies	Fails	Comment
Culvert Inlet Soffit Elevation > Headwater Elevation for Inlet C	X		
Adequate Freeboard provided to water level?	X		
			-

Therefore proposed culvert dimensions:

1 nr Diameter

1.35 m

Ву	Checked	Revision	Date
KS	KS	Original	19/10/2023



To determine the adequacy of hydraulic capacity for culverts in accordance with the requirements of CIRIA C689

##	<u>Input Data:</u>				
	Culvert Ref:		WC07		
	Coordinates (E/N)		251040	395901	
	Function / Description		Track crossing		
	Design Discharge Q		0.2	m3/sec	As per Hydrological Analysis
	Design Return Period		100+CC	Yrs	As per LA requirement
	Elevation of Stream Bed @ Culvert Inlet		294.7	m AOD	From Survey
	Elevation of Stream Bed @ Culvert Outlet		293.2	m AOD	From Survey
	Culvert Length		10	m	From Survey
	Elevation of Stream bed upstream of Culvert		301.8	m AOD	From Survey
	Distance upstream of Culvert		40	m	From Survey
	Elevation of Stream bed downstream of Culvert		287.4	m AOD	From Survey
	Distance downstream of Culvert		40	m	From Survey
	Elevation of Proposed Embankment Crest		296.7	m AOD	From Survey
	Average channel invert width		0.5	m	From Survey
	Average channel top of bank width		0.5	m	From Survey
	Average Channel Depth to Bank		0.3	m	From Survey
	Left Over-Bank Ground Level (Floodplain) (Culvert Inlet)		295.4	m AOD	From Survey
	Distance from bank		5	m	From Survey
	Right Over-Bank Ground Level (Floodplain) (Culvert Inlet)		294.6	m AOD	From Survey
	Distance from bank		5	m	From Survey
	Mannings n - Channel		0.1		From C689 Table A1.1
	Mannings n - Overbanks		0.06		From C689 Table A1.1
	Bedslope upstream of Culvert 1 in S1	S1	5.63	-	Calculated
	Bedslope downstream of Culvert 1 in S2	S2	6.90	-	Calculated
	Bedslope across Culvert 1 in S3	S3	6.67	-	Calculated
	Bedslope across whole reach considered 1 in S4	S4	6.25	-	Calculated
	Channel Side Slopes 1 in X	X =	0.00	-	Calculated
	Upstream Left Over- Bank Slope	Y =	12.50	-	Calculated
	Upstream Right Over- Bank Slope	Z =	-12.50	-	Calculated

#### ## <u>Calculate Tailwater Depth and Level:</u>

Mannings Equation:

 $Q = VA = \left(\frac{1.00}{n}\right) AR^{\frac{3}{2}} \sqrt{s} \quad [SI] \qquad R_h = \frac{A}{P}$ 

Channel Capacity <	Discha	rge, Out of Bank Floodin	g Considered
Depth of water in channel is	Ydc	0.34 m	Calculated
Therefore water level at downstream extent of culvert is	WLt	293.54 mAOD	Calculated
	$V_{dc}$	1.16 m/s	Calculated





$H_t = Z_{bo} + y_{dc} + \frac{V_{dc}^2}{2g}$	Where:	Z <sub>bo</sub> Y <sub>dc</sub> V <sub>dc</sub>	Elevation @ Culve Water depth in do Velocity in downs	ert Outlet ownstream ch stream chann	annel el
Tailwater Elevation:		Ht	293.62 m	AOD	Calculated
## <u>Calculate Froude No.</u>					
Cross Sectional	Area (A)		0.17 m	2	
Тор	Width (B)		0.50 m		
Hydraulic mean depth (A pe	er unit B)	d <sub>m</sub>	0.34 m		
Froude	Number	Fr	0.63	Subcritical	
Critical depth in	channel	h <sub>c</sub>	0.21 m		

Critical Velocity v<sub>c</sub>

## Initial Design

Initial Estimate of required culvert cross sectional area required. Analysis is based on new culvert, therefore design should allow for freeflow conditions. Applicable method is Flow Area Method

1.84 m/s

##	Flow Area Method - refer to C689 Section 6.7.1			
	Depth; Min. Tailwater depth	D	0.34 m	Calculated
	Assume 20% Initial loss of culvert height due to Freeboa	rd / S	0.43 m	Calculated
	where freeboard depth is:	F	0.04 m	Calculated
	Area required as per tailwater fllow calculation:	At	0.17 m2	Calculated
	Nominal width (Area / Depth (not inc. freeboard):		0.50 m	Calculated
	Therefore prelim culvert dimensions (incl freeboard + si	Di	0.43 m	Calculated
		<b>B</b> <sub>i</sub>	0.50 m	Calculated
##	<u>Detailed Design</u>			
##	Try Culvert dimensions			
	Based on previous Initial Design			
	Height / Diameter	D	0.60 m	
	Breadth (BLANK IF CIRCULAR)	В	m	
	Number of Culverts	nr	1 n/a	
	Shape		CIRCULAR	
	Freeboard		0.15 m	As per CIRIA Guidance
	Siltation / Depth lowered below ex. stream invert		0.05 m	Manually Entered Value
	Therefore:			
	Upstream Pipe Invert		294.65 mAOD	
	Upstream Pipe Base (w/Silt)Elevation	Zi	294.70 mAOD	
	Upstream Soffit Elevation		295.25 mAOD	
	Downstream Pipe Invert Elevation		293.15 mAOD	
	Downstream Pipe Base (w/ Silt) Elevation	Zo	293.20 mAOD	
	Downstream Soffit Elevation		293.75 mAOD	



$a = \frac{1.811Q}{1.811Q}$	Where		
$q_i = A D^{0.5}$	Discharge	Q	0.2 m3/s
Ь	Depth / Diameter of barrell	D	0.55 m
Culvert cross section	on area excl. freeboard + siltation	Ab	0.22 m2
	Discharge Coefficient	qi	2.26 n/a
Di	scharge intensity classification is:		Free Flow Inlet Control

# ## Calculation of headwater depth for free flow inlet control

Based on Table A1.3, Culvert type isNr2n/ai.e,Circular concrete pipe; Headwall, socket end of pipe

 $\frac{E_{sh}}{D} = \frac{E_{sc}}{D} + k \left[ \frac{1.811Q}{A_{h} D^{0.5}} \right]^{M} - 0.5S_{0}$  Eqn 6.23  $\frac{E_{sh}}{D} = k \left[ \frac{1.811Q}{A_{h} D^{0.5}} \right]^{M}$  Eqn 6.25

Therefore applicable CIRIA C689 equation reference:

Equation 6.23

Where	Discharge	Q	0.2 m3/s	
	Depth / Diameter of barrell	D	0.55 m	
	Unsubmerged analysis constant	k	0.0078	Table A1.3
	Unsubmerged analysis constant	М	2	Table A1.3
Culvert cros	ss section area excl. freeboard + siltation	Ab	0.22 m2	
c2m	Culvert Slope	So	0.15 m/m	1 in 6.67
$\frac{Q^2W}{R^3} = 1$	Critical depth calculated as:	Уc	0.258 m	
gA G 3	Specific Energy at Critical Depth	Esc	0.39 m	
$E_{sc} = \frac{1}{2} y_c$	Therefore Specific Energy of Headwater	$E_{sh}$	0.37 m	

# ## Calculation of headwater elevation for inlet control

Headwater Elevation  $H_{hic}\,determined$  by:

$H_{ij} = Z_j + E_j$	$h_{1} + h_{2}$ WI	nere		
nic i 3	Headloss due to inlet sci	reen h <sub>s</sub>	N/A	(No Screen Proposed)
	Stream Elevation at I	nlet $Z_i$	294.70	mAOD
	Specific Energy of Headw	ater E <sub>sh</sub>	0.37	m
1	Therefore Headwater Elevation:	H <sub>hic</sub>	295.07	mAOD

Water Level at the headwater for inlet control WL<sub>hic</sub> determined by:

$WL_{} = H_{}$	NC.	Where		
hic hic	2g	Headwater Elevation:	$H_{hic}$	295.07 mAOD
		Velocity in Upstream Channel	$V_{uc}$	1.16 m/s
	Theref	ore Water Level at Inlet:	$WL_{hic}$	295.00 mAOD





## ## <u>Summary</u>

	Complies	Fails	Comment
Culvert Inlet Soffit Elevation > Headwater Elevation for Inlet C	Х		
Adequate Freeboard provided to water level?	Х		
			-

Therefore proposed culvert dimensions:

1 nr Diameter

0.60 m

Ву	Checked	Revision	Date
KS	KS	Original	19/10/2023



To determine the adequacy of hydraulic capacity for culverts in accordance with the requirements of CIRIA C689

##	<u>Input Data:</u>				
	Culvert Ref:		WC08		
	Coordinates (E/N)		251264	396011	
	Function / Description		Track crossing		
	Design Discharge Q		0.99	m3/sec	As per Hydrological Analysis
	Design Return Period		100+CC	Yrs	As per LA requirement
	Elevation of Stream Bed @ Culvert Inlet		306.8	m AOD	From Survey
	Elevation of Stream Bed @ Culvert Outlet		304.4	m AOD	From Survey
	Culvert Length		10	m	From Survey
	Elevation of Stream bed upstream of Culvert		315.8	m AOD	From Survey
	Distance upstream of Culvert		40	m	From Survey
	Elevation of Stream bed downstream of Culvert		293.9	m AOD	From Survey
	Distance downstream of Culvert		40	m	From Survey
	Elevation of Proposed Embankment Crest		308.8	m AOD	From Survey
	Average channel invert width		5	m	From Survey
	Average channel top of bank width		6	m	From Survey
	Average Channel Depth to Bank		0.5	m	From Survey
	Left Over-Bank Ground Level (Floodplain) (Culvert Inlet)		308	m AOD	From Survey
	Distance from bank		10	m	From Survey
	Right Over-Bank Ground Level (Floodplain) (Culvert Inlet)		308	m AOD	From Survey
	Distance from bank		10	m	From Survey
	Mannings n - Channel		0.1		From C689 Table A1.1
	Mannings n - Overbanks		0.06		From C689 Table A1.1
	Bedslope upstream of Culvert 1 in S1	S1	4.44	-	Calculated
	Bedslope downstream of Culvert 1 in S2	S2	3.81	-	Calculated
	Bedslope across Culvert 1 in S3	S3	4.17	-	Calculated
	Bedslope across whole reach considered 1 in S4	S4	4.11	-	Calculated
	Channel Side Slopes 1 in X	X =	1.00	-	Calculated
	Upstream Left Over- Bank Slope	Y =	14.29	-	Calculated
	Upstream Right Over- Bank Slope	Z =	14.29	-	Calculated

#### ## <u>Calculate Tailwater Depth and Level:</u>

Mannings Equation:

 $Q = VA = \left(\frac{1.00}{n}\right) AR^{\frac{2}{3}} \sqrt{S} \quad [SI] \qquad R_h = \frac{A}{P}$ 

Discharge Contained	Discharge Contained in Channel, Depth of Normal Flow Con		
Depth of water in channel is	Y <sub>dc</sub>	0.15 m	Calculated
Therefore water level at downstream extent of culvert i	WLt	304.55 mAOD	Calculated
	V <sub>dc</sub>	1.32 m/s	Calculated





$H_t = Z_{bo} + y_{dc} + \frac{V_{dc}^2}{2g}$	Where:	Z <sub>bo</sub> Y <sub>dc</sub> V <sub>dc</sub>	<ul> <li>Blevation @ Culvert Outlet</li> <li>Water depth in downstream channel</li> <li>Velocity in downstream channel</li> </ul>		
Tailwater Elevation:		H <sub>t</sub>	304.64 mAOD	Calculated	
## <u>Calculate Froude No.</u>					
Cross Sectiona	ıl Area (A)		0.75 m2		
Тор	Width (B)		5.29 m		
Hydraulic mean depth (A p	oer unit B)	d <sub>m</sub>	0.14 m		
Froude	e Number	Fr	1.12 Supercritical		
				•	

Critical depth in channel  $h_c$ 

Critical Velocity v<sub>c</sub>

## Initial Design

Initial Estimate of required culvert cross sectional area required. Analysis is based on new culvert, therefore design should allow for freeflow conditions. Applicable method is Flow Area Method

0.12 m

1.18 m/s

##	Flow Area Method - refer to C689 Section 6.7.1			
	Depth; Min. Tailwater depth	D	0.15 m	Calculated
	Assume 20% Initial loss of culvert height due to Freeboa	rd / S	0.18 m	Calculated
	where freeboard depth is:	F	0.02 m	Calculated
	Area required as per tailwater fllow calculation:	At	0.75 m2	Calculated
	Nominal width (Area / Depth (not inc. freeboard):		5.15 m	Calculated
	Therefore prelim culvert dimensions (incl freeboard + si	Di	0.18 m	Calculated
		<b>B</b> <sub>i</sub>	5.15 m	Calculated
##	<u>Detailed Design</u>			
##	Try Culvert dimensions			
	Based on previous Initial Design			
	Height / Diameter	D	1.05 m	
	Breadth (BLANK IF CIRCULAR)	В	m	
	Number of Culverts	nr	1 n/a	
	Shape		CIRCULAR	
	Freeboard		0.18 m	As per CIRIA Guidance
	Siltation / Depth lowered below ex. stream invert		0.05 m	Manually Entered Value
	Therefore:			
	Upstream Pipe Invert		306.75 mAOD	
	Upstream Pipe Base (w/Silt)Elevation	Zi	306.80 mAOD	
	Upstream Soffit Elevation		307.80 mAOD	
	Downstream Pipe Invert Elevation		304.35 mAOD	
	Downstream Pipe Base (w/ Silt) Elevation	Zo	304.40 mAOD	
	Downstream Soffit Elevation		305.40 mAOD	



$a = \frac{1.811Q}{1.811Q}$	Where		
4, A D <sup>0.5</sup>	Discharge	Q	0.99 m3/s
Ь	Depth / Diameter of barrell	D	1.00 m
Culvert cross section are	a excl. freeboard + siltation	Ab	0.76 m2
	Discharge Coefficient	qi	2.37 n/a
Discharg	ge intensity classification is:		Free Flow Inlet Control

# ## Calculation of headwater depth for free flow inlet control

Based on Table A1.3, Culvert type isNr2n/ai.e,Circular concrete pipe; Headwall, socket end of pipe

 $\frac{E_{ab}}{D} = \frac{E_{ac}}{D} + k \left[ \frac{1.811Q}{A_b D^{0.5}} \right]^M - 0.5S_0$  Eqn 6.23  $\frac{E_{ab}}{D} = k \left[ \frac{1.811Q}{A_b D^{0.5}} \right]^M$  Eqn 6.25

Therefore applicable CIRIA C689 equation reference:

Equation 6.23

Where	Discharge	Q	0.99 m3/s	
	Depth / Diameter of barrell	D	1 m	
	Unsubmerged analysis constant	k	0.0078	Table A1.3
	Unsubmerged analysis constant	М	2	Table A1.3
Culvert cros	ss section area excl. freeboard + siltation	Ab	0.76 m2	
c2m	Culvert Slope	So	0.24 m/m	1 in 4.17
$\frac{Q^2W}{gA^3} = 1$	Critical depth calculated as:	Уc	0.526 m	
. 3	Specific Energy at Critical Depth	Esc	0.79 m	
$E_{sc} = \frac{1}{2} y_c$	Therefore Specific Energy of Headwater	$E_{sh}$	0.71 m	

#### ## Calculation of headwater elevation for inlet control

Headwater Elevation H<sub>hic</sub> determined by:

$H_{1,1} = Z_1 + E_{-1} + h$	Where			
hic t sh	Headloss due to inlet screen	hs	N/A	(No Screen Proposed)
	Stream Elevation at Inlet	Zi	306.80	mAOD
	Specific Energy of Headwater	$E_{sh}$	0.71	m
Theref	ore Headwater Elevation:	$H_{hic}$	307.51	mAOD

Water Level at the headwater for inlet control WL<sub>hic</sub> determined by:

$WL_{} = H_{}$	NC.	Where		
NIC NIC	2g	Headwater Elevation:	$H_{hic}$	307.51 mAOD
		Velocity in Upstream Channel	$V_{uc}$	1.32 m/s
	Therefo	ore Water Level at Inlet:	$WL_{hic}$	307.42 mAOD





### ## <u>Summary</u>

	Complies	Fails	Comment
Culvert Inlet Soffit Elevation > Headwater Elevation for Inlet C	Х		
Adequate Freeboard provided to water level?	Х		
			-

Therefore proposed culvert dimensions:

1 nr Diameter

1.05 m

Ву	Checked	Revision	Date
KS	KS	Original	19/10/2023



To determine the adequacy of hydraulic capacity for culverts in accordance with the requirements of CIRIA C689

##	<u>Input Data:</u>				
	Culvert Ref:		WC09		
	Coordinates (E/N)		251495	396121	
	Function / Description		Track crossing		
	Design Discharge Q		0.25	m3/sec	As per Hydrological Analysis
	Design Return Period		100+CC	Yrs	As per LA requirement
	Elevation of Stream Bed @ Culvert Inlet		318.5	m AOD	From Survey
	Elevation of Stream Bed @ Culvert Outlet		316.42	m AOD	From Survey
	Culvert Length		10	m	From Survey
	Elevation of Stream bed upstream of Culvert		325.7	m AOD	From Survey
	Distance upstream of Culvert		40	m	From Survey
	Elevation of Stream bed downstream of Culvert		309.6	m AOD	From Survey
	Distance downstream of Culvert		40	m	From Survey
	Elevation of Proposed Embankment Crest		320.5	m AOD	From Survey
	Average channel invert width		0.4	m	From Survey
	Average channel top of bank width		0.4	m	From Survey
	Average Channel Depth to Bank		0.2	m	From Survey
	Left Over-Bank Ground Level (Floodplain) (Culvert Inlet)		318.6	m AOD	From Survey
	Distance from bank		10	m	From Survey
	Right Over-Bank Ground Level (Floodplain) (Culvert Inlet)		318.8	m AOD	From Survey
	Distance from bank		10	m	From Survey
	Mannings n - Channel		0.1		From C689 Table A1.1
	Mannings n - Overbanks		0.06		From C689 Table A1.1
	Bedslope upstream of Culvert 1 in S1	S1	5.56	-	Calculated
	Bedslope downstream of Culvert 1 in S2	S2	5.87	-	Calculated
	Bedslope across Culvert 1 in S3	S3	4.81	-	Calculated
	Bedslope across whole reach considered 1 in S4	S4	5.59	-	Calculated
	Channel Side Slopes 1 in X	X =	0.00	-	Calculated
	Upstream Left Over- Bank Slope	Y =	-100.00	-	Calculated
	Upstream Right Over- Bank Slope	Z =	100.00	-	Calculated

#### ## <u>Calculate Tailwater Depth and Level:</u>

Mannings Equation:

 $Q = VA = \left(\frac{1.00}{n}\right) AR^{\frac{3}{2}} \sqrt{s} \quad [SI] \qquad R_h = \frac{A}{P}$ 

Channel Capacity <	Discharge,	Out of Bank Flooding C	onsidered
Depth of water in channel is	Ydc	0.42 m	Calculated
Therefore water level at downstream extent of culvert i:	WLt	316.84 mAOD	Calculated
	$V_{dc}$	1.49 m/s	Calculated





$H_t = Z_{bo} + y_{dc} + \frac{V_{dc}^2}{2g}$	Where:	Z <sub>bo</sub> Y <sub>dc</sub> V <sub>dc</sub>	Elevation @ Culvert Outlet Water depth in downstream c Velocity in downstream chann	hannel nel
Tailwater Elevation:		Ht	316.96 mAOD	Calculated
## <u>Calculate Froude No.</u>				
Cross Sectiona	l Area (A)		0.17 m2	
Тор	Width (B)		0.40 m	
Hydraulic mean depth (A p	oer unit B)	d <sub>m</sub>	0.42 m	
Froud	e Number	Fr	0.74 Subcritical	
Critical depth i	n channel	h <sub>c</sub>	0.27 m	

Critical Velocity v<sub>c</sub>

## Initial Design

Initial Estimate of required culvert cross sectional area required. Analysis is based on new culvert, therefore design should allow for freeflow conditions. Applicable method is Flow Area Method

2.03 m/s

##	Flow Area Method - refer to C689 Section 6.7.1			
	Depth; Min. Tailwater depth	D	0.42 m	Calculated
	Assume 20% Initial loss of culvert height due to Freeboa	rd / S	0.52 m	Calculated
	where freeboard depth is:	F	0.05 m	Calculated
	Area required as per tailwater fllow calculation:	At	0.17 m2	Calculated
	Nominal width (Area / Depth (not inc. freeboard):		0.40 m	Calculated
	Therefore prelim culvert dimensions (incl freeboard + si	$D_i$	0.52 m	Calculated
		<b>B</b> <sub>i</sub>	0.40 m	Calculated
##	<u>Detailed Design</u>			
##	Try Culvert dimensions			
	Based on previous Initial Design			
	Height / Diameter	D	0.60 m	
	Breadth (BLANK IF CIRCULAR)	В	m	
	Number of Culverts	nr	1 n/a	
	Shape		CIRCULAR	
	Freeboard		0.15 m	As per CIRIA Guidance
	Siltation / Depth lowered below ex. stream invert		0.05 m	Manually Entered Value
	Therefore:			
	Upstream Pipe Invert		318.45 mAOD	
	Upstream Pipe Base (w/Silt)Elevation	Zi	318.50 mAOD	
	Upstream Soffit Elevation		319.05 mAOD	
	Downstream Pipe Invert Elevation		316.37 mAOD	
	Downstream Pipe Base (w/ Silt) Elevation	Zo	316.42 mAOD	
	Downstream Soffit Elevation		316.97 mAOD	



$a = \frac{1.811Q}{2}$	Where		
$q_i = \frac{1}{A D^{0.5}}$	Discharge	Q	0.25 m3/s
Ь	Depth / Diameter of barrell	D	0.55 m
Culvert cross section are	ea excl. freeboard + siltation	Ab	0.22 m2
	Discharge Coefficient	qi	2.83 n/a
Dischar	ge intensity classification is:		Free Flow Inlet Control

# ## Calculation of headwater depth for free flow inlet control

Based on Table A1.3, Culvert type isNr2n/ai.e,Circular concrete pipe; Headwall, socket end of pipe

 $\frac{E_{sh}}{D} = \frac{E_{sc}}{D} + k \left[ \frac{1.811Q}{A_b D^{0.5}} \right]^M - 0.5S_0$  Eqn 6.23  $\frac{E_{sh}}{D} = k \left[ \frac{1.811Q}{A_b D^{0.5}} \right]^M$  Eqn 6.25

Therefore applicable CIRIA C689 equation reference:

Equation 6.23

Where	Discharge	Q	0.25 m3/s	
	Depth / Diameter of barrell	D	0.55 m	
	Unsubmerged analysis constant	k	0.0078	Table A1.3
	Unsubmerged analysis constant	М	2	Table A1.3
Culvert cros	ss section area excl. freeboard + siltation	Ab	0.22 m2	
o?m	Culvert Slope	So	0.21 m/m	1 in 4.81
$\frac{Q^2W}{\alpha A^3} = 1$	Critical depth calculated as:	Уc	0.293 m	
g/1 C 3	Specific Energy at Critical Depth	Esc	0.44 m	
$E_{sc} = \frac{1}{2} y_c$	Therefore Specific Energy of Headwater	E <sub>sh</sub>	0.42 m	

#### ## Calculation of headwater elevation for inlet control

Headwater Elevation  $H_{hic}\,determined$  by:

$H_{ij} = Z_i + E_j$	+ h Where			
nic i si	Headloss due to inlet screen	hs	N/A	(No Screen Proposed)
	Stream Elevation at Inlet	Zi	318.50	mAOD
	Specific Energy of Headwater	$E_{sh}$	0.42	m
Т	herefore Headwater Elevation:	$H_{hic}$	318.92	mAOD

Water Level at the headwater for inlet control  $\mathsf{WL}_{\mathsf{hic}}$  determined by:

$WL_{\perp} = H_{\perp}$ -	- Where		
hic hic	2g Headwater Elevation:	$H_{hic}$	318.92 mAOD
	Velocity in Upstream Channel	$V_{uc}$	1.49 m/s
	Therefore Water Level at Inlet:	$WL_{hic}$	318.80 mAOD





## ## <u>Summary</u>

	Complies	Fails	Comment
Culvert Inlet Soffit Elevation > Headwater Elevation for Inlet C	Х		
Adequate Freeboard provided to water level?	Х		
		-	-

Therefore proposed culvert dimensions:

1 nr Diameter

0.60 m

Ву	Checked	Revision	Date
KS	KS	Original	19/10/2023